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## Executive Summary

The Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians (the Tribe) is currently in the process of developing and compiling several transportation studies which will ultimately be used to create a Transportation Safety Plan (TSP) Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) and Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). This analysis forms one of the contributing studies. The objective of this project is to identify high risk locations that should form the basis of further detailed investigation and ultimately improvements to the road network providing safer travel and saving lives.

An initial pilot project covering 100 miles of the existing tribal network was conducted to assess the feasibility and applicability of the usRAP software while screening portions of the Tribe's network. As a result of the initial phase the Sault Tribe requested an expansion of the analysis to include significant routes through the remainder of their main population centers. While a significant portion of the tribal offices are within the City of Sault Ste. Marie, many community centers and tribal areas are located across the Eastern Upper Peninsula. This expanded analysis merged with the initial phase to encompass those areas and provide a more complete list of predicted high risk locations. This was paired with an analysis of five years of historic crash records from 2009 to 2013 for locations on the network. When combined, these two analyses provide a complete picture of high risk road locations, which will be used to help shape the TSP, TIP and LRTP. These two analyses are simply referred to as the "analysis".

The project involved a preliminary review of a wide expanse of the tribal transportation network to select those roads which would have the greatest impact on members of the Tribe. The final network included road facilities in and around Escanaba, Hessel, KI Sawyer International Airport, Kincheloe, Manistique, Marquette, Munising, Naubinway, Newberry, Sault Ste. Marie, and St. Ignace. Figure 1 provides an overview of the entire analyzed network, highlighted in green.


Figure 1. Sault Tribe Analyzed Network

The main objective of the project was the identification of potential high risk locations across the Tribe's network to provide a base list for the Tribe to refer to when selecting locations and projects during the development of the TSP, TIP and LRTP. Table 1 includes the some of the top sites ranked by their predicted fatal crash rate.

Table 1. Example High Risk Sites Ranked by usRAP Predicted Fatal Crash Rate

| Road Name | Area | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | usRAP Predicted Segment Fatal Crash Rate Range (per 100MVMT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lowest | Highest |
| I-75 BL | Sault Ste. Marie | 1.307 | 43.133 | 0.297 | 26.880 |
| Shunk Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | 0.000 | 32.497 | 0.337 | 19.521 |
| Gaines Highway | Kincheloe | 0.000 | 8.361 | 0.342 | 17.866 |
| Seymour Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | 18.609 | 37.218 | 0.200 | 17.864 |
| Mackinac Trail | St Ignace | 0.000 | 41.702 | 0.363 | 17.736 |
| I-75 BL North State St | St Ignace | 0.000 | 9.897 | 0.065 | 15.154 |
| M-221 | Sault Ste. Marie | 0.000 | 25.350 | 0.235 | 8.856 |
| Mackinac Trail | Sault Ste. Marie | 6.101 | 42.705 | 0.297 | 8.854 |
| M-553 | Marquette | 0.000 | 2.716 | 0.196 | 4.533 |
| Dixie Hwy | Sault Ste. Marie | 2.909 | 11.638 | 0.489 | 3.651 |
| Easterday | Sault Ste. Marie | 0.000 | 29.950 | 0.039 | 3.231 |
| Lakeshore Dr | Sault Ste. Marie | 4.506 | 25.537 | 0.152 | 3.050 |


| Top $1 / 3$ | Mid $1 / 3$ | Bottom $1 / 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From full high risk list |  |  |

The methodology used to achieve these goals is summarized in the following report along with lists of high risk locations. The usRAP analysis also identifies potential countermeasures (or treatments) to improve safety at the high risk sites and estimates the crash savings that could be realized. These countermeasures can be used as a starting point for a detailed assessment to identify the most appropriate safety solution. This analysis, combined with other studies (e.g., Transit Study, the NonMotorized plan, etc.) can be used by the Tribe to create their TSP, TIP and LRTP.
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## 1 Introduction

The objective of this analysis of the Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indian's (the Tribe) network is the production of a list of high risk roads and intersections. These sites will be considered for inclusion in the Tribe's Transportation Safety Plan (TSP), Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP), and Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). Towards this end a series of tasks were completed to develop the analysis and list of high risk locations. The usRAP component of the analysis consisted of an initial and expanded phase. The initial phase, which was supported by the Michigan Department of Transportation and AAA Michigan, involved a preliminary evaluation of the usRAP tool to assess a portion of the Tribe's network. The expansion phase built upon this and included larger portions of the network to provide the Tribe with a complete look at their transportation network. In addition to the basic network expansion, selected routes were coordinated with a concurrent transit study to ensure that analyzed routes provided access to the Tribe's mass transit networks operating throughout the Upper Peninsula. This helped to produce a network which would encompass a greater range of mobility needs.

The US Road Assessment Program (usRAP) is designed to identify high risk locations across a network and compile a list of potential treatments for sites which meet specific criteria. While the program was intended for agencies with sparse or incomplete crash data, it offers several benefits to a wide range of agencies. Geometric and operational characteristics are collected for each 328 foot segment of roadway to assess the potential risk associated with each segment. The program uses this information to prioritize locations based on risk, and assigns treatments based on potential reductions in fatal and serious injury crashes as well as the benefit-cost ratio associated with each treatment and location. This information is presented in a series of summary reports made available through an interactive website, as well as several downloadable files which provide additional details and background information. A more in depth summary of the process and results is available in Appendix A. Figure 2 provides a general outline for the steps involved in developing the initial usRAP analysis.

- Selection of road facilities to be included in the analysis through

Network
Development consultation with the Tribe

- Collection of relevant facility information, i.e. network segmentation, traffic volumes, etc.
- Coding of facility geometric and operations characteristics
\& Coding

Processing \&
Analysis of
Results

- Calibration of estimation factors and processing by usRAP tool - Review and analysis of usRAP results, reports, etc.


## Figure 2. General usRAP Process

This process was followed by additional crash analyses of the most recent five years of available crash data (2009-13) to supplement and augment the usRAP results. In this way the recommended lists of high risk locations include those based on actual crash histories as well as the usRAP's predictive analysis, providing a more comprehensive, well-rounded list of potential locations. Figure 3 provides an overview of the combination of sources used to develop the list of recommended sites.


Figure 3. High Risk Location Inputs
The use of both historic and predictive methods to select sites for the list is an important part of the analysis. While in most circumstances historic crash patterns are relied on to identify locations and apply for and justify funding, a predictive analysis may identify lower volume roads which may have the characteristics of a higher risk road without a proven crash history. In this way, the analysis provides a more comprehensive assessment.

## 2 Network Development

Effective selection and development of an appropriate network is crucial to the use of the usRAP analysis tool. Building on the initial phase of the project, the expanded network was developed to include the remaining tribal centers across the Upper Peninsula, providing a more comprehensive analysis of the Sault Tribe's network. The following sections detail the development of the full network and include a focused look at the network in each network area.

### 2.1 Methodology

Several sources of information were used to develop the network for analysis. Using Michigan's GIS road network layer and a tribal property layer provided by the Sault Tribe Environmental Department, network areas were identified around each of the main property clusters. This process was intended to identify the routes which would have the greatest impact on members of the Tribe as well as the general populace. These network areas are shown in Figure 4 below with their accompanying networks highlighted in green.


Figure 4. Network Locations

The network areas shown in the preceding figure include properties and road networks in the following eleven areas.

- Sault Ste. Marie (1)
- Escanaba (7)
- Kincheloe (2)
- KI Sawyer International Airport (8)
- Hessel (3)
- Marquette (9)
- St. Ignace (4)
- Munising (10)
- Naubinway (5)
- Newberry (11)
- Manistique(6)

Interstate 75 and its associated ramps and emergency vehicle crossovers were removed from the network due to the nature of the facility and the potential complexity of project selection and securing sufficient funding. US and M routes which serviced property clusters were retained as they are heavily trafficked by the local population within and between the community centers. Additionally, only those roads adjacent to tribal properties, or those which provide access to main routes (I, US, or M routes) either immediately or eventually, were included. This resulted in a network which was focused around tribal properties and the routes which provided access to main thoroughfares through those property clusters. While traffic volumes for all roads were not available, appropriate engineering estimates were used in any case where official traffic volumes were unavailable.

Members of the Tribal Transportation Committee were consulted regarding the proposed network. Additionally, GIS layers of the various transit routes which service the tribal community were reviewed to ensure that the proposed network provided connections to those routes. The feedback from the Tribal Transportation Planner, Tribal Transportation Committee, and the transit route assessment were incorporated into the final network. More detailed maps of the analyzed network are available in Section 3.

## 3 usRAP Analysis Results

The full network dataset created during the coding and calibration process was analyzed in the usRAP software to develop a comprehensive analysis and report. The following sections provide a brief overview of the usRAP results used to develop the final lists of high risk locations. Further details on the usRAP including the assessment process can be found in the Appendix.

### 3.1 Access

A full list of all segment rankings and other additional information is available from the usRAP website. The address for the site used in the analysis is included here. It should be noted that login information is required to access the.

Web Address: http://www.usraptools.net/irap22/default.asp

### 3.2 Overall Results

Due to the large amount of information entered into the usRAP software and produced by it, inclusion of the complete results in the report would be impractical. However, some general overarching results have been included to provide an overview of the analyzed network. The following sections include several maps developed based on the results of the analysis and show different aspects of the information produced by the software.

### 3.2.1 Star Ratings

Star ratings for the network segments comprise one of the fundamental aspects of the usRAP results and can be viewed as "smoothed" or "unsmoothed". Each individual segment receives a unique road protection score based on the coded segment information uploaded to the website for vehicular, motorcycle, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic. Each score is based on the presence of various safety features, i.e. physical median, separated pedestrian facilities, wider paved shoulders, etc. For example, a road with a physical median and wide, paved shoulders would have a better road protection score than one with no physical median, missing pavement markings, and groups of standing trees close to the pavement's edgeline. These road protection scores are used to assign each segment a star rating identifying its relative risk. Table 2 provides a legend for each of the star ratings, which are used to identify segments in Figures 7 through 17.


Figure 5 shows an example comparison between a one and four star road from the Sault Ste. Marie network based on their vehiclular rating.


Seymour Rd - Southbound from Marquette Ave

One Star Rating
Potential Reasons:

- Narrow Gravel Shoulders
- Worn/Missing Pavement Markings
- Deteriorating Pavement Condition


Riverside Dr - Northbound approaching the Sugar Island Ferry

Four Star Rating
Potential Reasons:

- Paved Shoulders $w /$ Curbs
- Recently Refreshed Pavement Markings
- Pavement in Good Condition

Figure 5. Segment Rating Comparison

The unsmoothed star ratings display this information and can be used to identify specific high risk locations or areas. The smoothed star ratings aggregate the individual ratings over the length of each road. Table 1 below is excerpted from one of the usRAP reports and provides a summary of the distribution of star ratings for each of the four modes of transportation.

Table 1 - Star Rating Distribution

| Star <br> Rating | Car Occupants |  | Motorcyclists |  | Bicyclists |  | Pedestrians |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length (mi) | $\%$ | Length (mi) | $\%$ | Length (mi) | $\%$ | Length (mi) | $\%$ |
| 5 Star | 7 | $2.7 \%$ | 5 | $2.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 12 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 4 Star | 66 | $25.9 \%$ | 23 | $9.1 \%$ | 1 | $0.5 \%$ | 22 | $8.5 \%$ |
| 3 Star | 115 | $45.1 \%$ | 107 | $42.0 \%$ | 2 | $1.0 \%$ | 21 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 2 Star | 39 | $15.4 \%$ | 89 | $35.1 \%$ | 11 | $4.1 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 1 Star | 28 | $11.0 \%$ | 30 | $11.7 \%$ | 22 | $8.8 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| N/A | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 218 | $85.6 \%$ | 201 | $78.8 \%$ |
| Total | 255 | $100 \%$ | 255 | $100 \%$ | 255 | $100 \%$ | 255 | $100 \%$ |

Figure 7 provides a comparison between the two types of star ratings for vehicular traffic in the Sault Ste. Marie area. Both the smoothed and unsmoothed ratings were used to develop the final lists of high risk sites discussed in Section 4. Figures 8 through 17 show the smoothed vehicular star ratings for the remaining network areas to provide a general look at the relative risk across the network. Additional star ratings and maps are available through the usRAP website. These include ratings and maps for non-motorized users and motorcyclists.

## 3.2 .2 <br> Sault Ste. Marie



Figure 7. Sault Ste. Marie Area Network - Unsmoothed Ratings (Above), Smoothed Ratings (Below)

### 3.2.3 Kincheloe



Figure 8. Kincheloe Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.4 Hessel



Figure 9. Hessel Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.5 St. Ignace



Figure 10. St. Ignace Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.6 Naubinway



Figure 11. Naubinway Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.7 Manistique



Figure 12. Manistique Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.8 Escanaba



Figure 13. Escanaba Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.9 KI Sawyer International Airport



Figure 14. KI Sawyer International Airport Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.10 Marquette



Figure 15. Marquette Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.11 Munising



Figure 16. Munising Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

### 3.2.12 Newberry



Figure 17. Newberry Area Network - Smoothed Star Ratings

## 4 High Risk Locations

### 4.1 Methodology

The network analysis included a total of 4,103 segments, each with their own set of road protection scores, star ratings, and crash histories. To develop the overall list of high risk sites, several data sources were employed including the usRAP results and a five year crash history from 2009-2013¹. Three lists were created, one for roads, a second for intersections and a third for roads by county. In developing the list of high risk roads, unsmoothed road protection scores and star ratings were used to select the highest risk road segments, i.e. one and two star roads. Based on this information the full length of each road was selected. Additionally, fatal and serious crashes were collected for the network used in the usRAP analysis to identify additional high risk locations based on historic crash information. The analyzed locations were sorted by each of the three types of crash rates with the top ten non-duplicates from each assessment method combined into a top list of thirty high risk roads. The full list was then ranked based on the historic fatal and serious injury crash costs over the most recent five years of available data. These costs were developed by multiplying the number of fatal and serious injury crashes by their associated costs as entered in the usRAP software (fatal at \$1,410,000 and serious injuries at $\$ 70,500$ ). These crash costs are roughly based on the 2012 National Safety Council cost estimates. ${ }^{2}$ This combination resulted in lists of potential high risk roads which cover both historic crash patterns as well as sites based purely on predicted risk. Inclusion of the historic and usRAP predicted crash rates as well as the various crash costs, should provide the Tribe with additional flexibility in selecting their own site rankings.

The list of high risk intersections was developed using a similar process. In the coded file used for processing by the usRAP software, each individual segment is identified as a road segment or one of several types of intersections. These segments were filtered to include only those flagged as intersections and their unsmoothed, or individual, road protection scores and star ratings were used to select the top list. As the top ten percent totaled over 400 intersections, the top 1 percent were used to develop the final list of high risk intersections. From this point the collected crash history for the full network was used to select additional sites and provide historic crash information for the intersections identified by the usRAP. The list of high risk intersections includes similar types of information as the list of high risk roads.

The list of high risk locations by county was developed in the same manner as the list of high risk roads. The main difference being the focus of priorities within a specific county. The following sections provide example selections from the lists of high risk roads, intersections, and locations by county with the complete lists available in Appendix B.

### 4.2 High Risk Roads

The following table includes the top thirty high risk roads ranked by the highest usRAP predicted fatal crash rate per segment. Each of the seven counties included in the full analysis are represented in the

[^0]full list of sites, available in Section B. 1 of the Appendix. Table 3 summarizes the basic information regarding the example high risk roads across the network.

Table 3. Example High Risk Roads

| Road Name | Area | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Historic Fatal } \\ \text { \& Serious } \\ \text { Injury Crash } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Historic Fatal } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (per 100MVMT) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Historic Fatal } \\ \text { \& Serious } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Crash Rate }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Highest usRAP } \\ \text { Predicted }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (2009-13) |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Top 1/3 | Mid 1/3 | Bottom 1/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From full high risk list |  |  |

As shown in the preceding table the ranking of the various high risk roads may differ based on the crash rate being considered. Ranking of the sites may also be influenced by the funding source being used or applied for, i.e. Highway Safety Improvement Funding requires a recorded fatal and serious incapacitating injury history (i.e. ranked by the fatal and serious injury crash rate) while discretionary funds within the Tribe may allow for more flexible rankings (i.e. ranked by the usRAP's predicted crash rate). As such, the future selection of sites by the Tribe will be heavily based on the local priorities and needs of each community and the Tribe as a whole. Some correlation between the rates may results in an initial list of sites, for example, Mackinaw Trail, the I-75 Business Loop, and Seymour Rd ranked highly amongst all three analysis methods. Additionally, while roads such as Balko St, Scharstrom Rd, Faketty Rd, and Evergreen Dr appear to have fatal and serious injury crash rates significantly higher than other locations, they each only experienced one crash during the five years of available crash data. Their crash rates are higher due to their lower volumes and shorter lengths when compared to other routes. Having a direct comparison between the three ranking types allows for a somewhat more subjective ranking approach in addition to the more objective ranking performed by strictly using the crash rates. Figure 18 shows the general locations of the top high risk roads within the network based on the full list available in the Appendix. The analyzed network is highlighted in blue with the high risk roads in red.


Figure 18. High Risk Road Locations
As shown in the figure, ten of the eleven network areas and all seven of the network counties contain at least one high risk road. This provides the opportunity to select locations for further investigation across the full geographic area covered by the Tribe's network.

### 4.3 High Risk Intersections

The following table provides the top thirty high risk intersections as identified by the usRAP and historic crash patterns. As with the example list of high risk roads, the high risk intersection is ranked by the usRAP's predicted fatal crash rate. The top high risk intersections are more heavily concentrated in the eastern half of the network in the Sault Ste. Marie, Kincheloe, and St Ignace areas. Table 4 provides summary information regarding the example set of high risk intersections across the network. The full list of high risk intersections is available in Section B. 2 of the Appendix.

Table 4. Example High Risk Intersections

| Intersection | Area | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Historic Fatal } \\ \text { \& Serious } \\ \text { Injury Crash } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (2009-13)\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Historic } \\ \text { Fatal } \\ \text { Crash Rate } \\ \text { (per MEV) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Historic } \\ \text { KAB } \\ \text { Crash } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { (per MEV) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { usRAP } \\ \text { Predicted } \\ \text { Fatal }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| (per MEV) |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Top $1 / 3$ | Mid $1 / 3$ | Bottom $1 / 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From full high risk list |  |  |

In a similar manner as the high risk roads, several factors may play a significant role in selecting potential intersections for further analysis. For example, the first four sites in the preceding table had high fatal and fatal and serious injury crash rates compared to the other locations. These may be selected as they are highly ranked on two of the three lists and also have significant potential in terms of reducing the cost of crashes occurring at these locations. In addition, while Red Cedar Dr at Maple Grove Dr and Homestead Rd at East Three Mile Rd are lower on the overall list, they rank highly based on fatal and serious injury crashes and may become a priority for the Tribe to address. Figure 19 below shows the general locations of the high risk intersections identified in the full list. The analyzed network is highlighted in blue with identified high risk intersections shown in red.


Figure 19. High Risk Intersection Locations
As shown in the accompanying figure, while a majority of the high risk intersections are located along the eastern end of the network, particularly in the Sault Ste. Marie area, nine of the eleven study areas contain at least one high risk intersection.

### 4.4 Top High Risk Sites by County

An additional list of high risk locations by county is included below with additional information available in Section B. 3 of the Appendix. This list may be used to select high risk locations by geographic area as opposed to strictly using one of the other high risk measurements. In this way the Tribe should be able to select future projects across all counties to distribute funding and projects geographically should the need arise. Table 5 provides summary information regarding the top high risk sites for each of the seven counties, sorted alphabetically.

Table 5. Top High Risk Locations by County

| Road Name | County | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (2009-13) | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | usRAP Predicted Segment <br> Fatal Crash Rate Range (per 100MVMT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lowest | Highest |
| M-28 | Alger | \$3,172,500 | 0.905 | 23.541 | 0.023 | 1.096 |
| Balko St | Alger | \$70,500 | 0.000 | 2939.442 | 0.529 | 1.411 |
| Atik Ameg Dr | Alger | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.441 | 0.882 |
| Brook St | Alger | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.353 | 0.353 |
| Knox Rd | Alger | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.992 | 1.146 |
| 75BL | Chippewa | \$3,666,000 | 1.307 | 43.133 | 0.297 | 26.880 |
| Seymour Rd | Chippewa | \$2,961,000 | 18.609 | 37.218 | 0.200 | 17.864 |
| Shunk Rd | Chippewa | \$493,500 | 0.000 | 32.497 | 0.337 | 19.521 |
| M-221 | Chippewa | \$141,000 | 0.000 | 25.350 | 0.235 | 8.856 |
| Gaines Highway | Chippewa | \$70,500 | 0.000 | 8.361 | 0.342 | 17.866 |
| N Lincoln Rd | Delta | \$1,974,000 | 2.948 | 26.531 | 0.324 | 2.505 |
| US-2 | Delta | \$916,500 | 0.000 | 24.302 | 0.311 | 1.563 |
| S Lincoln Rd | Delta | \$423,000 | 0.000 | 19.223 | 0.313 | 2.289 |
| Willow Creek Rd | Delta | \$141,000 | 0.000 | 47.084 | 0.066 | 2.659 |
| Gijik St | Delta | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.661 | 0.661 |
| M-28 | Luce | \$1,833,000 | 4.419 | 30.936 | 0.209 | 1.793 |
| County Rd 403 North | Luce | \$70,500 | 0.000 | 44.973 | 0.115 | 1.669 |
| County Rd 388 | Luce | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.220 |
| County Rd 403 South | Luce | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.171 | 0.514 |
| N Greenwood Dr | Luce | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| N Huron Shore Dr | Mackinaw | \$634,500 | 0.000 | 7.850 | 0.261 | 2.759 |
| US-2 | Mackinaw | \$564,000 | 0.000 | 38.071 | 0.113 | 0.350 |
| Three Mile Rd | Mackinaw | \$493,500 | 0.000 | 9.908 | 0.309 | 3.179 |
| Mackinac Trail | Mackinaw | \$423,000 | 0.000 | 41.702 | 0.363 | 17.736 |
| 75BL North State St | Mackinaw | \$352,500 | 0.000 | 9.897 | 0.065 | 15.154 |
| Division St | Marquette | \$493,500 | 0.000 | 173.220 | 0.350 | 1.405 |
| M-553 | Marquette | \$282,000 | 0.000 | 72.556 | 0.528 | 1.411 |
| M-94 | Marquette | \$211,500 | 0.000 | 22.905 | 0.259 | 1.728 |
| M-553 | Marquette | \$141,000 | 0.000 | 2.716 | 0.196 | 4.533 |
| Scorpion St | Marquette | \$70,500 | 0.000 | 367.430 | 0.573 | 1.235 |
| US 2 | Schoolcraft | \$423,000 | 0.000 | 13.295 | 0.284 | 1.587 |
| County Road 433 | Schoolcraft | \$211,500 | 0.000 | 65.620 | 0.440 | 1.707 |
| Faketty Rd | Schoolcraft | \$70,500 | 0.000 | 1603.332 | 0.588 | 1.470 |
| Scharstrom Rd | Schoolcraft | \$70,500 | 0.000 | 2713.331 | 0.176 | 1.323 |
| Linden Rd | Schoolcraft | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.506 | 1.771 |

[^1]
### 4.5 Detailed High Risk Locations

Figure 20 below shows the general locations of the high risk locations by county identified in the full list. The analyzed network is highlighted in blue with identified high risk locations shown in red.


Figure 20. High Risk Intersection Locations

As shown in the accompanying figure, significant portions of the network in each of the seven counties have been identified. It should be noted that these locations are only flagged as the top high risk locations for each county. When considering the full list of locations, some of the locations by county may not be represented. As previously mentioned, however, this list may be used to help select potential projects by geographic area as opposed to an overall ranking of high risk locations.

The following sections provide a detailed look at the location of the high risk roads and intersection identified in each of the network areas. These locations are based on the overall high risk road and intersections lists and will differ slightly from the locations identified in the county list. As such, Naubinway is not included as no roads or intersections were identified in that area on the overall lists. Table 6 serves as the legend for figures 21 through 30.

Table 6. High Risk Location Legend

| High Risk Roads | $\bigcirc$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| High Risk Intersections | $\square$ |

### 4.5.1 Sault Ste. Marie



Figure 21. Sault Ste. Marie Area Network - Unsmoothed Ratings (Above), Smoothed Ratings (Below)

### 4.5.2 Kincheloe



Figure 22. Kincheloe Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.3 Hessel



Figure 23. Hessel Area Network - High Risk Locations
4.5.4 St. Ignace


Figure 24. St. Ignace Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.5 Manistique



Figure 25. Manistique Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.6 Escanaba



Figure 26. Escanaba Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.7 KI Sawyer International Airport



Figure 27. KI Sawyer International Airport Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.8 Marquette



Figure 28. Marquette Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.9 Munising



Figure 29. Munising Area Network - High Risk Locations

### 4.5.10 Newberry



Figure 30. Newberry Area Network - High Risk Locations

## 5 usRAP Potential Treatment Locations

### 5.1 Overview

In addition to the network screening function associated with the usRAP software, a list of potential treatments is also developed for each segment. As previously discussed, the usRAP software screens the overall network based on the presence, or absence, of road safety features to identify potential high risk locations where crashes may be more likely to occur. It then takes this information and, based on the estimated reduction in fatal and serious injury crashes along each segment of the network, recommends treatments which are estimated to meet a minimum benefit-cost ratio of 1.0. The final list consists of 312 treatments which usRAP has predicted will meet the minimum BCR out of a total of 5,232 tested countermeasures. While this list may provide the Tribe with an initial range of locations and potential treatment options it must be stressed that a specific safety assessment should be conducted at any location. While the software does produce some useful countermeasures recommendations, not all treatments may fit with the Tribe's plans, priorities, or funding. Figure 31 provides a general overview of the locations of treatment sites recommended by the usRAP. The analyzed route is light blue with recommended segments highlighted in red.


Figure 31. usRAP Recommended Treatment Locations (Sault Ste. Marie - Left, St Ignace - Right)
As shown in the preceding figure all 312 recommended countermeasure segments are located in the eastern portion of the network. This makes sense when considering factors such as urban density and traffic volumes which contribute to more conducive benefit-cost ratios associated with the locationtreatment pairings identified by the usRAP. This may not match the Tribe's priorities, however, and should be considered with a discerning eye. The list of countermeasures with benefit-cost ratios greater than 1.0 has been included in the Appendix. A brief description of some example locations with their usRAP recommended treatments in provided in the following section.

### 5.2 Example Treatment Locations

### 5.2.1 I-75 Business Loop (Sec. 3170836)

This section of network is located in Sault Ste. Marie, MI and serves as one of the main through routes into the downtown area for visitors and local traffic. The facility is rated as a one star road by usRAP. Land use in the areas is largely commercial and the road consists of two through lanes in each direction with a center left turn lane which ends just north of $10^{\text {th }}$ Ave. The driveway and intersection density along this route is relatively high as compared to the bulk of the network and includes signalized and un-signalized intersections.

The following countermeasures have been recommended by the usRAP software to improve the safety performance of this stretch of network.

- Road resurfacing,
- Road surface improvements,
- Roadside safety barrier installation, and;
- Shoulder widening.

According to the usRAP's recommendations, widening or adding a paved shoulder of at least 3 feet on either side of the road has been recommended for nearly the entire length. It must be noted, however, that this may not be feasible in the downtown area as the road's right of way is restricted by existing development. In areas where this is feasible, however, additional paved shoulder area provides drivers with more time to correct for errors or obstacles in the through way. The installation of roadside barriers has been identified by the program along the curve where the business loop and Ashmun St join. Additionally, resurfacing and surface improvements have been suggested for segments along the northern end of the facility. These treatments could involve patching to repair road sections or resurfacing to improve pavement friction and skid resistance. An in depth safety audit would be required to determine the specific countermeasures which may be most applicable at these locations.

### 5.2.2 I-75 Business Loop \& E $14^{\text {th }}$ Ave

The intersection of the I-75 Business Loop (Ashmun St) and E 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave has been identified by the usRAP as a potential location for the installation of a roundabout. The intersection is currently a minor road, stop controlled intersection with four legs. At this location, the I-75 business loop consists of two through lanes in each direction with a center left turn lane while E 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave is minor two lane road. Based on the usRAP analysis the segment this intersection falls under is rated as a one star road. Roundabouts have the potential to reduce the severity of crashes but have, in some cases, increased the number of property damage only collisions. There are several important factors to consider before installing a roundabout at any location including the types and distribution of crashes, the balance of traffic flow entering the intersection, and the presence and proximity of driveways and other adjacent land uses to the location. These and other factors must be considered carefully to determine whether or not a roundabout is appropriate for the location.

### 5.2.3 I-75 Business Loop \& Marquette Ave

The intersection of the I-75 Business Loop (Ashmun St) and Marquette Ave has been identified by the usRAP for the addition of a left turn provision into the existing signal configuration. The existing intersection configuration consists of a four leg, signalized intersection with dedicated left turn lanes on each approach. The major approach (I-75 business loop) consists of two through lanes in each direction while the minor approach (Marquette Ave) is a two lane minor road. The signal is a box span installation and currently lacks signals and phasing for left turns from either the minor or major approaches. The addition of a left turn phase to the signal phasing could help to alleviate left turn related crashes at the intersection. Verification of the presence of related crash patterns would be required before suggesting the installation of such phasing and must be weighed against the treatment's impacts on the operational performance of the intersection. This could be accomplished through a detailed crash analysis and Synchro modeling of the intersections operation.

### 5.2.4 Shunk Rd

The analyzed portion of Shunk Rd runs to the east of the Sault Ste. Marie downtown area south for roughly eight miles. This facility has been rated by the usRAP software as being a two star road. Land use along the facility is largely undeveloped or residential in nature with a very low drive way or intersection density. There is little to no paved shoulder along this road and roughly a quarter of the length with no unpaved shoulder. Along the remaining portion there is less than three feet of unpaved shoulder on either side of the road. The usRAP has recommended the installation of a paved shoulder of at least three feet on either side of the road along roughly $72 \%$ of the road, largely from the intersection of E Nine Mile to E Three Mile Rd. By providing a paved shoulder area drivers would have additional space to correct for driver errors or avoid obstacles in the through lanes. Also, given the rural and largely undeveloped nature of the land surrounding the facility the addition of a paved shoulder is relatively feasible. A review of the available right of way would be required in addition to an analysis of the types of crashes occurring along Shunk Rd to confirm the presence of available land as well as justification for the installation of the shoulder based on correctible crash patterns.

## 6 Recommendations

The compiled lists of identified high risk roads and intersections provide the Tribe with a source of potential locations for the inclusion in the TSP, TIP, and LRTP. The various sites have been ranked using several crash rates, including usRAP's predicted rate as well as historic rates where applicable. In addition, historic crash costs as well as geographic distribution have been considered in the development of these lists. Based on the results of the usRAP analysis and additional screening performed using the historic fatal and serious injury crashes, it is recommended that these locations be considered when identifying locations for further detailed transportation safety investigations. While every location does not necessarily have a recorded history of crashes, they may still be considered to be high risk based on their geometric features. This is evident through the identification by the usRAP software of several road segments and intersections based on existing safety features which were not shown to have a proven crash history. While every effort has been made to ensure that the lists provide a representative look at high risk locations across the network, it is important to note that any future safety projects should be thoroughly investigated in detail before committing safety funds and resources. For example, while the usRAP may recommend the installation of a roundabout at a specific intersection, further review of the intersection's operations may suggest that a signal phasing change is more feasible based on local constraints or practices. Additional information, including a full list of rating information for the entire analyzed network, is available through the usRAP website.

In addition to the lists of high risk locations, the usRAP analysis produces a report detailing recommended segment-countermeasure pairings based on several contributing factors. While this list may prove useful as a starting point when considering areas for improvement, it is recommended that the list be used only as an initial guide to highlight potential areas for further detailed investigation. This is due to the need to assess the most appropriate solution based on the individual location, surrounding community needs, and the priorities of the Tribe. For example, there are a number of intersections which the usRAP recommends the installation of a roundabout. However, upon further investigation it was determined that there were few to no fatal or injury crashes at many of these locations. This would suggest that a roundabout would, in reality, not be justifiable based on the actual crash history. As previously mentioned, the I-75 Business Loop through Sault Ste. Marie was highlighted by the usRAP as a one star road with several different recommended countermeasures identified along the facility. While many of these treatment recommendations may be applicable, there are several significant countermeasures which are likely to be infeasible or impractical. Additionally, a detailed crash analysis would be required at each location before determining whether the crash history supports the installation of the various treatment types. For example, if there have been few to no angle or head on left turn crashes at an intersection, a roundabout is not likely to be justified. As the usRAP is based on predictive methods it does not take specific crash types into account along each segment, simply the expected number and severity of crashes. As such, while it may provide a starting point for consideration of treatment options, it is not recommended that the usRAP's countermeasure recommendations be taken at face value. A full list of recommended treatment-segment pairings is available in the appendix and as a download from the usRAP website.

## Appendix A-usRAP Review

## A. 1 Summary

The US Road Assessment Program (usRAP) is designed to identify high risk locations across a network and compile a list of potential treatments. While the program was intended for agencies with sparse or incomplete crash data, it offers several benefits to a wide range of agencies. Geometric and operational characteristics are collected for each 328 foot segment of roadway to assess the potential risk associated with each segment. The program uses this information to prioritize locations based on risk, and assigns treatments based on potential reductions in fatal and serious injury crashes as well as the benefit-cost ratio associated with each treatment and location. This information is presented in a series of summary reports made available through an interactive website, as well as several downloadable files which provided additional details and background information. The following sections provide a brief introduction to the usRAP website in terms of access, navigation, and available reports and files.

## A.1.1 Network Definition

As the foundation for subsequent steps in the analysis process, the defined network provides the basis for data collection and processing. Before any data can be collected, the extent of the network must be determined and divided into 328 foot (100m) segments including identifying and locational information. This information will be used during later steps to code the network and obtain streetview images for each individual segment. The process used to segment the network can be done manually or automated through the use of GIS or other software.

## A.1.2 Data Collection \& Network Coding

The usRAP software is a data intensive program requiring at least 50 pieces of information for each segment of the network. A specifically formatted input file must be created which includes the defined network segments and their road names, latitude/ longitude coordinates, and length and order information. The various data points corresponding to features of the segment are entered into the spreadsheet using a preprocessor. Some features collected during this process include the following:

- Number of lanes,
- Pavement quality,
- Intersection type,
- Presence of pedestrian and bicycle facilities,
- Traffic volumes,
- Speed limit, etc.

The method generally used to collect this information is one benefit of the program identified by the project team. Video logs of the network or Google Streetview images may be used to identify features along each segment of the network. In this way, personnel are able to code network segments from the office instead of the roadside, which provides several advantages in terms of safety, site visits, and resource allocation.

Once the network segments have been coded several quality checks are performed to review the file for accuracy, errors, and consistency. After this review has been completed, the dataset is uploaded to the usRAP website.

## A.1.3 Calibration \& Processing

The largest source of information required by the program is the core data file created during the data collection and coding process. Several additional elements are required to help calibrate the software. These include network wide fatality counts for all four modes of travel (automobile, motorcycle, bicycle, and pedestrian), economic factors related to the network (estimated cost of fatal and injuries, acceptable benefit cost ratios, etc.), and costs associated with potential treatments. Additionally, fatality factors should be calibrated based on network wide fatality counts. These files are uploaded to the website or entered directly before processing. This information is utilized by the usRAP to assign road protection scores to each segment, distribute predicted fatal and serious injury crashes across the network, and assess the costs and benefits associated with potential treatments for each segment. The road protection score represents the program's best estimation of the relative safety and safety features present at each location. Star ratings are assigned to each road segment based on the results of the road protection scores and range from one for the highest risk roads to five for the lowest risk roads. Based on the geometric, operational, cost, and fatality estimates associated with each segment the software assigns a potential countermeasure designed to address the safety issues at that location while meeting a minimum benefit-cost ratio as defined by the user.

## A.1.4 Results

Information from the analysis of the full network is available through the usRAP interactive website. Results and reports may be viewed directly on the website or downloaded as raw data in Excel files. The following sections provide basic instructions for site access as well as locating, accessing and producing specific reports and downloadable files. Screenshots used within these subsections are obtained from the full network results.

## Site Access

Users of the website are provided access to specific projects with differing functionality depending on their access rights. To gain access, the user must navigate to the following web address:

## Web address: http:// www.usraptools.net/irap22/default.asp

Once the user has navigated to this address, they may enter their unique login information along the left hand side of the screen and select "login". Once the user has logged in to the system, the user should select their specific project from the left hand column. This will bring them to the main page for that project. Figure 32 below is an example screenshot.


Figure 32. usRAP Project Main Page
From this page, the user can access all available reports and downloadable files. The following sections go into more detail regarding the main reports and files.

## A.1.5 Reports

Utilizing the usRAP software provides the opportunity to develop a range of summary reports such as an overall road safety report, a safer roads investment plan, and detailed condition reports, among others. Information contained in these reports include high level summaries of the network in terms of potential high risk locations, countermeasure options, estimated casualty reductions, and an overview of the network's characteristics.

## Road Safety Report

The Road Safety Report provides a brief overview of the results of the usRAP analysis. This report includes a map showing the distribution of rated segments across the network as well as a table detailing the distribution of star ratings by transportation mode. Lastly, the report lists the top five countermeasures as identified by the program. Figure 33 below provides an example screenshot of this report.


Figure 33. Road Safety Report

## Safer Roads Investment Plan

The Safer Roads Investment Plan provides a general overview of the countermeasures identified for segments across the analyzed network. Information contained in this report includes the individual countermeasures and the number of segments or locations sited for this treatment. It also contains cost information, the predicted number of fatal and serious injuries prevented by implementing the treatment, and the benefit-cost ratio for each countermeasure. Figure 34 below provides a screenshot of this report.


Figure 34. Safer Roads Investment Plan
The results displayed in this report are based on the estimated value of fatal and serious injury crashes as determined by the user and usRAP's predicted distribution of crashes along segments of the network. Treatments at each location are filtered out based on a benefit-cost ratio being above a certain point as determined by the user. In this case a BCR of 1.0 was selected. The resulting list of potential treatments is displayed with their overall associated costs and BCR displayed. It should be noted that while the example screenshot is displaying the treatment plan for the entire network, it is possible to view individual roads and sections by using dropdown boxes in the upper left hand corner of the screen. This provides the opportunity to view potential treatment programs for specific locations within the network. Caution must be exercised when considering this report as it assumes all treatments are implemented and the full predicted reduction in fatal and serious injury crashes are realized. Additionally, there may be treatments recommended by the program which do not necessarily fit with the crash types being experienced at that location or may not fit with the user's budget, project plans, or requirements.

## Detailed Condition Report

The Detailed Condition Report provides a statistical overview of the entire network based on the information used to code the network as well as the results of the processing phase. This includes the distribution of segments by star rating for each mode of transportation and the breakdown of various characteristics. Information included in the report covers all variables recorded during the coding process. Figure 35 below provides a screenshot example of the report.


Figure 35. Detailed Condition Report (Network Wide)

## A.1.6 Downloadable Files

Files available for download through the interactive website consist of raw data used for, or created during, the processing stage. These files offer the greatest range for user manipulation and review and generally provide more detailed information than the reports generated by the software. A brief explanation of the main files is provided below.

## Core Data

The Core Data file contains a wide range of information from the analysis. It includes the segment coding information entered prior to the processing phase as well as the results of the road protection score analysis and assigned star ratings. These road protection scores provide a more detailed, finely tuned look at the safety performance of each segment. This finer score is used to assign each segment the star rating, 0 and 1 through 5 , with 0 used for segments included in the analysis which were not rated. While the other reports contain star ratings for each mode of transportation, the core data file contains several road protection scores which are incorporated in the overall score for each mode. For example, the overall car star rating is comprised of road protection scores for run-off road, head-on, and intersection safety related characteristics. Lastly, the file contains both the star ratings for individual segments as well as star ratings aggregated over segments of the same road. Figure 36 provides a screenshot of the core data Excel file.

| 4) | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | location_id | country_id | road_name | road_section | carriageway | distance | length | latitude | longitude | landmark | traffic | mc_percent | bike_flow | ped_flow_xing |
| 2 | 7844205 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 46.46296061 | -84.35400436 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 7844206 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 46.4638593 | -84.35399403 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 7844207 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 46.46475799 | -84.3539837 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 7844208 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 14656007 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 46.46565669 | -84.35397338 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 7844209 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 46.46655538 | $-84.35396305$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 7844210 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 46.46745407 | -84.35395272 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 7844211 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 46.46835293 | -84.35394215 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 7844212 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 46.4692509 | $-84.35392581$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 7844213 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 46.47015342 | -84.35391457 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 7844214 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 46.47105005 | $-84.35390215$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 7844215 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 1 | 0.1 | 46.47194706 | $-84.35389006$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 7844216 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 46.47284776 | $-84.35388131$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 7844217 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 46.47374869 | -84.35387261 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 7844218 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 46.474655 | -84.35386398 |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 7844219 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 46.4755483 | $-84.35384678$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 7844220 | 171 | M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 46.47640619 | $-84.35410556$ |  | 4777 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 7844221 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 46.3759283 | -84.32194987 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 7844222 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 46.37682811 | -84.32195923 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 7844223 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 46.37772792 | -84.3219686 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | 7844224 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 46.37862773 | -84.32197796 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | 7844225 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 46.37952754 | -84.32198732 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 7844226 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 46.38042735 | -84.32199668 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 7844227 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 46.38132716 | -84.32200604 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 7844228 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 46.38222697 | -84.3220154 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 7844229 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 46.38312678 | -84.32202476 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | 7844230 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 46.38402659 | $-84.32203412$ |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 7844231 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 1 | 0.1 | 46.38492638 | -84.32204624 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 7844232 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 46.38582615 | -84.32206111 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 7844233 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 46.38672596 | $-84.32207065$ |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 7844234 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 46.38762577 | -84.32207857 |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 7844235 | 171 | Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 46.38852558 | $-84.32208795$ |  | 698 | 3 | 1 | 1 |

Figure 36. Example Core Data File

## Countermeasures

The countermeasure file provides the user with a detailed report of the various countermeasures considered for each segment of the network. The file contains records of each treatment considered whether or not it is ultimately selected for that segment. As such, the file includes a reason why any treatments might not be included in the final report. This is generally due to an unacceptable benefitcost ratio or the treatment being superseded by a conflicting treatment with better predicted performance. Additional information included in the file provides details regarding the expected costs, service life, benefits and benefit-cost ratio. Figure 37 serves as an example screenshot for this file.

| 4 | C | D | E | F | 6 | H | 1 | K | M | N | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | toad name | road section | carriageway | distance | length | latitude | loneitude | countermeasare name | override | override feason | kse saved per year |
| 38 | Fast Portage | 1502204 | 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 46.45551475 | -94.3118390 | teft turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg ) |  | BCI $=0.0734685123$ | 0 |
| 39 | East Portage | 1902204 | 3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 46.45519324 | -84.33055022 | Lefi turn lane (unsigralized 3 leg ] |  | BCR $=0.0724685323$ | 0 |
| 40 | East Portage | 1902204 | 3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 46.49479761 | -84.1294814 | Lefi tum lane (unvignalized 3 leg) |  | 1 BCR $=0.0724685123$ | 0 |
| 41 | East Portage | 1302204 | 1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 46.43401114 | -54.32714788 | tefi tum lane (unsignalised 3 leg ) |  | $18 C R=0.0724685323$ | 0 |
| 42 | Tsal | 3170836 | 3 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 46.48383307 | -54 35351551 | Left turn lane (undignalired 3 leg ] | 0 | , | 0.050191 |
| 41 | 73EL | 1170816 | 3 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 46.49000562 | 44.3529977 | Left turn lane (unsugralued 3 leg) | 0 | ? | 0.000191 |
| 44 | 7581 | 1170836 | 1 | 4 | 0.1 | 46.4y382572 | -84.35054348 | Lefi tum lane (unsignalised 3 leg) | 0 |  | 0.006162 |
| 45 | Tal | 1270836 | 3 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 46.43460949 | -\$4.34992173 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 0 |  | 0.000162 |
| 45 | 7SEL | 3178835 | 3 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 45.495402 | . 8.34933274 | Left fum lane (unsignalized 3 leg ) | 9 |  | 0.041309 |
| 47 | tast Portage | 1302204 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 46.4383t504 | -84.34002776 | Left tum lane (unsugnalised 3 leg) |  | $18 \mathrm{CR}=0.0022169122$ | 0 |
| 43 | 75al | 1170836 | 3 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 46.48352447 | -\$4.35190207 | Lefi turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 0 |  | 0.050191 |
| 49 | TSEL | 3170836 | 3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 46.28443798 | -54.35352041 | Left tum lane (uncugnalited a lieg | 0 |  | 0.030191 |
| 50 | 7506 | 2170836 | 3 | 3 | 0.1 | 46.40533291 | -84.35360013 | Left fum lane (unsagnaliced a leg) | 0 |  | 0.000291 |
| 51 | 万86 | 3170336 | 3 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 46.45022332 | -84.35353417 | Left turn lane (unsignalized a leg) | 0 |  | 0.050391 |
| 52 | 7SEL | 3170836 | 3 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 46.43713142 | -54.35354491 | Left tum lane (unsignalited 3leg] | 0 |  | 0.080191 |
| 53 | TEL | 3170836 | 3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 46.48392311 | -84.35352175 | Left tum lane (unsagnalized 3 leg) | 0 |  | 0.000191 |
| 54 | 730 | 2170838 | 3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 46.462t308 | -84.36472129 | Leff turn lane (unsignalized a leg) |  | BCE $=0.1165211760$ | 0 |
| 55 | TSEL | 3170836 | 3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 46.47445433 | -84.35733612 | Left tum lane (unsignaliced 3 leg) |  | Overndden by Rounda | 0 |
| 56 | 7506 | 3170836 | 3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 46,47572646 | -84.35449097 | Left tum lane (unsagnaliced 3leg) |  | Overndden by Rounda | 0 |
| 57 | 7sel | 3170836 | 3 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 46.47725957 | -84 35415631 | Left tum lane (unsignalized 3 leg ) |  | 1 Overridden by founde | 0 |
| 58 | rsel | 3270836 | 3 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 46.4781415s | -94.353850ss | Left turn lane (unnugnalcred 3 leg ) |  | Overndden by Rounda | 0 |
| 59 | 780L | 3170836 | 3 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 46,47993536 | -54.35374274 | Left tum lane (unsagnaliged 3 leg) | $\bigcirc$ | , | 0.112081 |
| 60 | West Portage | 1455605 | 3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 46.499603es | -54.3639161 | teft tum lane (unsignglited 3 leg () |  | 18CE $=0.0034785842$ | 0 |
| 61 | West Portage | 1455505 | 3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 46.5004546 | $-84.36182900$ | Left tum lane (unsignalised J leg) |  | $10 C 8=0.0034765542$ | 0 |
| 62 | 75 el | 1467209 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 46.46289573 | -54.38441943 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 legi) |  | BCR $=0.1165211760$ | 0 |
| 63 | 750. | 3170836 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 46.45555582 | -04.37020112 | Left tum lane (unsignalited 3 legl |  | $18 C 8=0.1165211700$ | 0 |
| 64 | 7580 | 1170836 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 46.46625155 | -84.36938133 | tefr turn lane (unngralited 3 leg) |  | BCR $=0.1165211760$ | 0 |
| 65 | 750 L | 3170836 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 46.46915566 | -84.36655490 | Left tum lane (unsignaliged 3 leg) |  | $2 \mathrm{BCR}=0.1165211760$ | 0 |

Figure 37. Example Countermeasure File

## A.1.7 Potential Applications

While the usRAP software provides several tools and report features, its primary purpose is the development of a predictive network screening. Each of the available reports and downloadable files comes back to the use of proprietary methods to predict the distribution of fatal and serious injury crashes across a given network. Building on this estimate, the software applies various safety treatments given the existing geometric characteristics to provide a predicted reduction in the number of predicted crashes along each segment. This is evaluated using a benefit-cost ratio as defined by the user based on the estimated cost of fatal and serious injury crashed in the jurisdiction the network falls under. While this process provides the user with a general starting point from which potential treatments may be considered, it is not recommended that these be applied without further in depth investigations. As such, it is recommended that the usRAP software be employed primarily as a tool for identifying potential high risk locations. This overall network screening may be used to help focus the efforts of transportation safety practitioners to locations with high potential for collisions. In this
manner, their efforts and resources may be more efficiently spent at locations where the greatest positive impact may be felt.

Given the accessible nature of the software and its online platform, transportation agencies could also use the software as a simplified reporting tool for the public. Some initial education would be required explaining the various aspects of the program such as its general method as well as various definitions for components such as "road protection scores", "star ratings", etc. If the software were to be updated on a regular basis progress could be tracked as treatments are implemented across the network.

Appendix B - High Risk Locations
B. 1 High Risk Roads

| Road Name | Physical Reference Number | Area | County | Approx. Length (mi) | Ave. AADT | Historic Fatal Crash Cost (2009-13) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP <br> Predicted <br>  <br> Serious <br> Injury <br> Crash <br> Costs <br> (Five Year <br> Period) | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | usRAP Predicted <br> Segment Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate Range (per 100MVMT) |  | Road Protection Score (Smoothed) |  |  |  | Star Rating (Smoothed) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lowest | Highest | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. |
| Lakeshore Dr | 1468005 | Sault Ste. <br> Marie | Chippewa | 12.05 | 3026 | \$4,230,000 | \$5,217,000 | \$1,002,975 | 4.506 | 25.537 | 1.672 | 33.549 | 1.88 | 3.04 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| 1-75 BL | 3170836 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3.04 | 13790 | \$1,410,000 | \$3,666,000 | \$17,149,435 | 1.307 | 43.133 | 3.264 | 295.679 | 25.8 | 33.44 | NR | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| Mackinac Trail | 3170836 | Sault Ste. <br> Marie | Chippewa | 14.70 | 1222 | \$2,820,000 | \$3,666,000 | \$417,268 | 6.101 | 42.705 | 3.264 | 97.397 | 1.52 | 2.32 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Six Mile Rd | 1468005 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 6.90 | 3200 | \$2,820,000 | \$3,525,000 | \$722,325 | 4.965 | 29.792 | 7.396 | 31.510 | 2.27 | 3.2 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| M-28 | $\begin{aligned} & 1138809 / \\ & 1139306 \end{aligned}$ | Munising | Alger | 12.86 | 4706 | \$1,410,000 | \$3,172,500 | \$554,236 | 0.905 | 23.541 | 0.257 | 12.056 | 0.71 | 1.31 | NR | 0.2 | 4 | 3 | NR | 5 |
| Seymour Rd | 1474205 | Sault Ste. <br> Marie | Chippewa | 4.10 | 1436 | \$2,820,000 | \$2,961,000 | \$671,449 | 18.609 | 37.218 | 2.195 | 196.503 | 4.92 | 8.47 | 23.22 | 1.44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| S Front St | 1562009 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.12 | 17796 | \$1,410,000 | \$2,115,000 | \$412,478 | 2.753 | 30.282 | 0.434 | 12.170 | 0.56 | 1.12 | NR | NR | 4 | 4 | NR | NR |
| Us-41 | 1562009 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.67 | 15708 | \$1,410,000 | \$2,115,000 | \$412,478 | 2.089 | 22.977 | 0.331 | 9.042 | 0.55 | 1.31 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| N Lincoln Rd | 1349006 | Escanaba | Delta | 0.99 | 18696 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,974,000 | \$612,716 | 2.948 | 26.531 | 3.567 | 27.560 | 0.96 | 2.03 | NR | 0.43 | 3 | 3 | NR | 4 |
| M-28 | 1260906 | Newberry | Luce | 3.67 | 3382 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,833,000 | \$210,834 | 4.419 | 30.936 | 2.296 | 19.724 | 1.15 | 2.31 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Dixie Hwy | 1465607 | Sault Ste. <br> Marie | Chippewa | 4.04 | 4663 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,621,500 | \$865,500 | 2.909 | 11.638 | 5.381 | 40.165 | 1.59 | 3.56 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| East Portage | 1902204 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 1.93 | 5931 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,621,500 | \$550,693 | 4.796 | 19.185 | 1.432 | 27.898 | 2.43 | 3.84 | NR | 0.09 | 2 | 2 | NR | 5 |
| US-2 | 1351805 | Escanaba | Delta | 2.17 | 13478 | \$0 | \$916,500 | \$530,611 | 0.000 | 24.302 | 3.424 | 17.188 | 1 | 2.45 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Easterday | 1466607 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 2.73 | 8042 | \$0 | \$846,000 | \$631,846 | 0.000 | 29.950 | 0.433 | 35.544 | 0.79 | 1.51 | NR | 0.43 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { N Huron Shore } \\ \text { Dr (M-134) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1143604 | Hessel | Mackinaw | 17.03 | 3690 | \$0 | \$634,500 | \$1,390,866 | 0.000 | 7.850 | 2.871 | 30.346 | 1.45 | 3.95 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| US-2 | 1142109 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 1.68 | 6863 | \$0 | \$564,000 | \$122,459 | 0.000 | 38.071 | 0.113 | 3.855 | 0.72 | 1.59 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Shunk Rd | 1465409 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 7.95 | 1484 | \$0 | \$493,500 | \$805,138 | 0.000 | 32.497 | 3.709 | 214.731 | 2.17 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 1.35 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Division St | 1561008 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.10 | 2013 | \$0 | \$493,500 | \$14,911 | 0.000 | 173.220 | 3.855 | 15.450 | 1.75 | 3.07 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Mackinac Trail | 1143101 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 12.05 | 654 | \$0 | \$423,000 | \$745,908 | 0.000 | 41.702 | 3.994 | 195.093 | 6.46 | 9.65 | 15.6 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| SLincoln Rd | 1349006 | Escanaba | Delta | 1.80 | 9491 | \$0 | \$423,000 | \$522,870 | 0.000 | 19.223 | 3.444 | 25.175 | 0.61 | 1.47 | NR | 0.43 | 4 | 3 | NR | 4 |
| 1-75 BL North State St | 1142108 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 4.70 | 5890 | \$0 | \$352,500 | \$2,007,347 | 0.000 | 9.897 | 0.716 | 166.699 | 3.28 | 5.87 | 11.85 | 0.56 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| McClellan Ave | 3520167 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.93 | 13908 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$1,044,546 | 0.000 | 8.181 | 1.367 | 19.731 | 0.87 | 1.41 | NR | 0.64 | 3 | 3 | NR | 4 |
| M-553 | 1561009 | K1 Sawyer | Marquette | 0.43 | 6945 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$34,221 | 0.000 | 72.556 | 5.807 | 15.516 | 2.67 | 4.65 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Marquette Avenue | 3170031 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 1.55 | 3944 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$191,323 | 0.000 | 26.890 | 1.387 | 21.331 | 1.38 | 1.95 | 2.75 | 0.1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Tone Rd | 3170005 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 5.16 | 2000 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$182,207 | 0.000 | 15.937 | 3.337 | 20.980 | 1.17 | 2.29 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| M-94 | 1575104 | KI Sawyer | Marquette | 4.78 | 1500 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$99,172 | 0.000 | 22.905 | 2.850 | 19.010 | 1.1 | 2.26 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| County Rd 433 | 1200008 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 2.05 | 1222 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$48,846 | 0.000 | 65.620 | 4.842 | 18.773 | 1.31 | 2.72 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |


| Road Name | Physical Reference Number | Area | County | Approx. Length (mi) | Ave. AADT | Historic Fatal Crash Cost (2009-13) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP <br> Predicted <br>  <br> Serious <br> Injury <br> Crash <br> Costs <br> (Five Year <br> Period) | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | usRAP Predicted <br> Segment Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Rate Range (per 100MVMT) |  | Road Protection Score (Smoothed) |  |  |  | Star Rating (Smoothed) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lowest | Highest | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. |
| 1.5 Mile | 1474606 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4.66 | 245 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$11,262 | 0.000 | 143.973 | 2.574 | 9.304 | 0.66 | 1.75 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Riverside Dr | 1902204 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 0.31 | 3490 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$550,693 | 0.000 | 151.604 | 0.970 | 7.678 | 1.35 | 2.7 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| M-221 | 3170026 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 2.54 | 1702 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$106,014 | 0.000 | 25.350 | 2.587 | 97.412 | 1.76 | 2.84 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| M-553 | 1561008 | Marquette | Marquette | 5.97 | 6763 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$576,052 | 0.000 | 2.716 | 2.151 | 49.865 | 0.97 | 1.78 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Scorpion St | 3520700 | KI Sawyer | Marquette | 1.49 | 200 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$5,552 | 0.000 | 367.430 | 6.305 | 13.580 | 2.05 | 5.4 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Four Mile Rd | 1474201 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 2.17 | 300 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$6,905 | 0.000 | 167.968 | 2.587 | 6.952 | 0.7 | 1.97 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Gaines Highway | 3170979 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 3.79 | 1729 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$494,498 | 0.000 | 8.361 | 3.759 | 196.528 | 5.26 | 7.02 | 11.75 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| M-129 (Ashmun) | 1465607 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 1.06 | 4777 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$865,500 | 0.000 | 10.859 | 17.321 | 29.322 | 1.7 | 3.26 | NR | 1.31 | 2 | 2 | NR | 3 |
| Evergreen Dr | 1470808 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.56 | 100 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$899 | 0.000 | 979.814 | 0.000 | 17.784 | 1.67 | 3.59 | NR | 0.61 | 2 | 2 | NR | 4 |
| Faketty Rd | 1202606 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.68 | 50 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$159,260 | 0.000 | 1603.332 | ${ }^{6.467}$ | 16.167 | 1.76 | 4.39 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Balko St | 1141702 | Munising | Alger | 0.37 | 50 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$624 | 0.000 | 2939.442 | 5.820 | 15.520 | 2.18 | 5.38 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Scharstrom Rd | 3770021 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.81 | 25 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$127 | 0.000 | 2713.331 | 1.940 | 14.550 | 0.36 | 0.59 | NR | NR | 4 | 4 | NR | NR |
| Country Wood $\mathrm{Dr}$ | 1470809 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.12 | 20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$127 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 14.550 | 14.550 | 1.63 | 3.52 | NR | 0.61 | 2 | 2 | NR | 4 |
| Tamarack St | 1470806 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.06 | 30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$95 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 14.550 | 14.550 | 1.63 | 3.52 | NR | 0.61 | 2 | 2 | NR | 4 |
| Krummich Rd | 1200102 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.19 | 122 | \$0 | \$0 | \$666 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.566 | 13.914 | 1.95 | 3.76 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Klagstad | 1200009 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.06 | 25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$74 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 13.580 | 13.580 | 3.17 | 5.29 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Court St | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1473303 / \\ 1472205 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 0.12 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$233 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.365 | 12.610 | 2.19 | 4.37 | NR | 0.26 | 2 | 2 | NR | 5 |
| Knox Rd | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1139402 / \\ 3020042 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Munising | Alger | 0.12 | 45 | \$0 | \$0 | \$233 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10.913 | 12.610 | 3.03 | 5.47 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Maple st | 1473302 | Sault Ste. <br> Marie | Chippewa | 0.19 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$338 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.820 | 12.610 | 1.66 | 3.46 | NR | 0.26 | 2 | 2 | NR | 5 |
| Ingalsbe | 1146901 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 0.81 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,058 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 11.640 | 1.75 | 2.53 | 3.6 | NR | 2 | 2 | 3 | NR |
| Marquette St | 1142709 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 0.06 | 100 | \$0 | \$0 | \$212 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 9.700 | 9.700 | 2.33 | 5.1 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Degenova Ave | 3170007 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.25 | 20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$116 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.850 | 9.700 | 1.62 | 3.44 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Bejig | 3520984 | Marquette | Marquette | 0.06 | 20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.275 | 7.275 | 1.75 | 2.86 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| E Truckey St | 1142706 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 0.06 | 80 | \$0 | \$0 | \$127 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.275 | 7.275 | 1.69 | 4 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Eagle Ridge Ct | 3521041 | Marquette | Marquette | 0.06 | 20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.275 | 7.275 | 1.75 | 2.86 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |

B. 2 High Risk Intersections

| Intersection | Area | County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Approx. Entering AADT | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Historic } \\ \text { Fatal } \\ \text { Crash } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (2009-13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Historic Fatal <br> \& Serious <br> Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP Predicted <br>  <br> Serious Injury Crash Costs (Five Year Period) | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per MEV) | Historic KAB Crash Rate (per MEV) | usRAP Predicted Fatal Crash Rate (per MEV) | Road Protection Score (Smoothed) |  |  |  | Star Rating (Smoothed) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. |
| 1-75BL/East Portage | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 4800 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,480,500 | \$200,978 | 0.114 | 0.228 | 0.011 | 48.03 | 60.47 | NR | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| M-28/County Rd 403 North | Newberry | Luce | 4 | 4300 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,410,000 | \$10,903 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.001 | 3.57 | 5.58 | NR | NR | 1 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Seymour Road/Three Mile Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 7500 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,410,000 | \$61,525 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.002 | 48.23 | 61.37 | 77.51 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| 1-75BL/Easterday Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 21300 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$8,407 | 0.000 | 0.103 | 0.000 | 36.34 | 47.12 | NR | 2.99 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-758L/M-129 (Ashmun) | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 19000 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$524,171 | 0.000 | 0.115 | 0.007 | 34.15 | 43.79 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/Marquette Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 25000 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$940,043 | 0.000 | 0.088 | 0.010 | 45.81 | 57.58 | NR | 2.95 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| Us-2/Willow Creek Rd | Escanaba | Delta | 4 | 14600 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$11,410 | 0.000 | 0.150 | 0.000 | 2.8 | 5.19 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| 1-75BL/Mackinac Trail | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 15600 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$63,683 | 0.000 | 0.105 | 0.001 | 2.13 | 4.09 | NR | 0.84 | 2 | 2 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/Peck St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 12000 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$365,535 | 0.000 | 0.137 | 0.008 | 31.02 | 39.51 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/Portage Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 9000 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$161,047 | 0.000 | 0.183 | 0.005 | 38.1 | 48.24 | NR | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| McClellan Ave/US-41 | Marquette | Marquette | 4 | 27500 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$27,643 | 0.000 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 1.27 | 2.08 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| S Front St/Division St | Marquette | Marquette | 3 | 18500 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$10,438 | 0.000 | 0.089 | 0.000 | 0.39 | 0.71 | NR | 0.08 | 4 | 4 | NR | 4 |
| Us-2/26th St S | Escanaba | Delta | 3 | 11800 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$13,166 | 0.000 | 0.139 | 0.000 | 1.2 | 3.12 | NR | 0.41 | 3 | 2 | NR | 3 |
| N Huron Shore Dr/M-129 (Meridian Rd) | Hessel | Mackinaw | 3 | 5100 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$17,724 | 0.000 | 0.215 | 0.001 | 5.59 | 9.29 | NR | NR | 1 | 1 | NR | NR |
| US-41/Grove St | Marquette | Marquette | 4 | 18000 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$6,271 | 0.000 | 0.061 | 0.000 | 1.23 | 2.46 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| East Three Mile Rd/Gardenville Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 13300 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$24,809 | 0.000 | 0.041 | 0.000 | 2.8 | 5.08 | NR | 1.34 | 2 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| Easterday/Meridian St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 9200 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$9,369 | 0.000 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.05 | 0.11 | NR | 0.24 | 5 | 5 | NR | 5 |
| Homestead Rd/East Three Mile Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 360 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$624 | 0.000 | 1.522 | 0.000 | 2.2 | 4.37 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| 1-75BL North State St/Church St | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 3 | 9600 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$146,517 | 0.000 | 0.057 | 0.004 | 14.64 | 24.62 | 39.92 | 1.34 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 1-75BL/11th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 13850 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$879,597 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.016 | 70.32 | 87.41 | NR | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/13th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 19500 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$754,547 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.010 | 42.62 | 53.65 | NR | 0.46 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-758L/14th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 19350 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$1,234,663 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.017 | 70.62 | 88.14 | NR | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/16th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 19600 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$830,931 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.011 | 43.37 | 55.14 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/5th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 13840 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$563,108 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.011 | 42.64 | 54.44 | NR | 1.06 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/8th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14100 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$539,050 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.010 | 42.54 | 53.85 | NR | 0.46 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| $1-758 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{James}$ St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14000 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$575,047 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.106 | 45.25 | 60.79 | NR | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/Leroy St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 11500 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$478,445 | 0.000 | 0.048 | 0.011 | 45.68 | 59.27 | NR | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| Kincheloe Dr/Evergreen Dr | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 4 | 1500 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$4,537 | 0.000 | 0.365 | 0.001 | 1.49 | 3.1 | NR | 0.61 | 3 | 2 | NR | 3 |
| M-129 (Ashmun)/East Three Mile Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 9700 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$30,541 | 0.000 | 0.056 | 0.001 | 3.04 | 5.1 | NR | 1.34 | 2 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| M-28/Balko St | Munising | Alger | 3 | 5100 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$2,686 | 0.000 | 0.107 | 0.000 | 3.66 | 8.46 | NR | NR | 1 | 1 | NR | NR |
| M-28/Newberry Ave | Newberry | Luce | 4 | 7000 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$2,284 | 0.000 | 0.078 | 0.000 | 3.57 | 5.58 | NR | NR | 1 | 1 | NR | NR |
| M-553/Kelly Johnson Memorial Dr | K1 Sawyer | Marquette | 3 | 7300 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$973 | 0.000 | 0.075 | 0.000 | 12.13 | 17.53 | NR | NR | 1 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Mackinac Trai//East Three Mile Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 4750 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$32,656 | 0.000 | 0.115 | 0.002 | 3.67 | 6.75 | NR | 1.61 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| Mackinac Trai//W 10 Mile Rd | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 3 | 1700 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$28,669 | 0.000 | 0.322 | 0.004 | 23.94 | 39.68 | NR | NR | 1 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Marquette Ave/Seymour Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 5100 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$3,564 | 0.000 | 0.107 | 0.000 | 24.83 | 41.96 | 62.57 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Red Cedar Dr/Maple Grove Dr | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 4 | 100 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$116 | 0.000 | 5.479 | 0.000 | 2.27 | 4.62 | NR | 0.61 | 2 | 2 | NR | 3 |
| SLincoln Rd/5th Ave | Escanaba | Delta | 4 | 18100 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$79,767 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.001 | 2.88 | 5.23 | NR | 1.02 | 2 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| Shunk Road/Three Mile Rd | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 6800 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$33,163 | 0.000 | 0.081 | 0.001 | 47.13 | 58.29 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| US-2/S 1st St | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 3 | 7500 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$2,718 | 0.000 | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.43 | 1.1 | NR | NR | 4 | 4 | NR | NR |

B-4

| Intersection | Area | County | Int. <br> Type | Approx. Entering AADT | Historic <br> Fatal <br> Crash <br> Cost <br> (2009-13) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP Predicted <br> Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (Five Year Period) | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per MEV) | Historic KAB Crash Rate (per MEV) | usRAP Predicted Fatal Crash Rate (per MEV) | Road Protection Score (Smoothed) |  |  |  | Star Rating (Smoothed) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. |
| US-41/S Front St | Marquette | Marquette | R | 15000 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$28,584 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.81 | 2.12 | NR | 0.44 | 3 | 3 | NR | 3 |
| Gaines Highway/M-80 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 3 | 4000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$56,978 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 37.03 | 48.48 | 63.06 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Gaines Highway/Seasonal Rd | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 4 | 1775 | \$0 | \$0 | \$74,088 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 48.3 | 60.07 | 73.61 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| 1-758L/10th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 13850 | \$0 | \$0 | \$880,358 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.016 | 70.32 | 87.41 | NR | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/15th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 19400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$830,931 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 43.37 | 55.14 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/18th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 16000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$646,894 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 43.37 | 55.14 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-758L/19th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 16000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$632,448 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 42.33 | 52.92 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/6th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$561,617 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 42.54 | 53.85 | NR | 1.06 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-758L/7th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14100 | \$0 | \$0 | \$539,050 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 42.54 | 53.85 | NR | 0.46 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/9th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14100 | \$0 | \$0 | \$539,801 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 42.54 | 53.85 | NR | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/Ann St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 11400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$734,561 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 71.07 | 89.9 | NR | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-75BL/Newton Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$568,628 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 43.07 | 55.41 | NR | 1.06 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |
| 1-75BL/Pine St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 14000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$551,328 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 43.07 | 55.41 | NR | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-758L/Sherridan Dr | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3 | 11500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$476,700 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 45.52 | 58.8 | NR | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| 1-758L/Spruce St | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 8000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,978 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 48.03 | 60.47 | NR | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| Mackinac Trail/Charles Moran Rd | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 4 | 1000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$18,929 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 47.9 | 60.44 | 75.8 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Mackinac Trai//Charles Rd | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 4 | 300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,385 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 47.55 | 59.91 | 72.66 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Mackinac Trail/--75 BL North State St | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 3 | 5600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$33,375 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 31.85 | 41.43 | 52.54 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Mackinac Trail/Ingalsbe Rd | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 3 | 1250 | \$0 | \$0 | \$33,861 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 32.3 | 42.52 | 54.56 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Mackinac Trail/Usfs 3445 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 4 | 300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,374 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 47.52 | 59.38 | 73.84 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| Seymour Road/8th Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 1700 | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,077 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 36.74 | 61.46 | 89.66 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Seymour Road/Newton Ave | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 1800 | \$0 | \$0 | \$50,538 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 36.37 | 59.94 | 86.66 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Shunk Road/Maleport Dr | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4 | 800 | \$0 | \$0 | \$33,523 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 47.67 | 59.62 | NR | 1.66 | 1 | 1 | NR | 2 |

B. 3 High Risk Locations by County

| Road Name | Physical Reference Number | Cluster | County | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (2009-13) | usRAP Predicted Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (Five Year Period) | Historic Fatal Crash Rate (per 100MVMT) | Historic <br>  <br> Serious <br> Injury <br> Crash Rate <br> (per 100MVMT) | usRAP Predicted Segment Fatal Crash Rate Range (per 100MVMT) |  | Road Protection Score (Smoothed) |  |  |  | Star Rating (Smoothed) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lowest | Highest | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. | Veh. | Mot. | Bic. | Ped. |
| M-28 | 1138809/1139306 | Munising | Alger | \$3,172,500 | \$554,236 | 0.905 | 23.541 | 0.023 | 1.096 | 0.660 | 1.370 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Balko St | 1141702 | Munising | Alger | \$70,500 | \$624 | 0.000 | 2939.442 | 0.529 | 1.411 | 2.180 | 5.380 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| Atik Ameg Dr | 3020043 | Munising | Alger | \$0 | \$63 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.441 | 0.882 | 1.560 | 3.390 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Brook St | 3020502 | Munising | Alger | \$0 | \$21 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.870 | 1.890 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Knox Rd | 1139402/3020042 | Munising | Alger | \$0 | \$233 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.992 | 1.146 | 2.850 | 5.390 | NR | NR | 2 | 1 | NR | NR |
| 75BL | 3170836 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | \$3,666,000 | \$17,149,435 | 1.307 | 43.133 | 0.297 | 26.880 | 25.800 | 33.440 | NR | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | NR | 3 |
| Seymour Rd | 1474205 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | \$2,961,000 | \$671,449 | 18.609 | 37.218 | 0.200 | 17.864 | 4.920 | 8.470 | 23.22 | 1.44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Shunk Rd | 1465409 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | \$493,500 | \$805,138 | 0.000 | 32.497 | 0.337 | 19.521 | 2.170 | 3.300 | NR | 1.35 | 2 | 2 | NR | 3 |
| M-221 | 3170026 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | \$141,000 | \$106,014 | 0.000 | 25.350 | 0.235 | 8.856 | 1.760 | 2.840 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Gaines Highway | 3170979 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | \$70,500 | \$494,498 | 0.000 | 8.361 | 0.342 | 17.866 | 5.260 | 7.020 | 11.75 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| N Lincoln Rd | 1349006 | Escanaba | Delta | \$1,974,000 | \$612,716 | 2.948 | 26.531 | 0.324 | 2.505 | 0.960 | 2.030 | NR | 0.43 | 3 | 3 | NR | 4 |
| US-2 | 1351805 | Escanaba | Delta | \$916,500 | \$530,611 | 0.000 | 24.302 | 0.311 | 1.563 | 1.000 | 2.450 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| S Lincoln Rd | 1349006 | Escanaba | Delta | \$423,000 | \$522,870 | 0.000 | 19.223 | 0.313 | 2.289 | 0.610 | 1.470 | 4.35 | 0.43 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Willow Creek Rd | 1349413/3210522 | Escanaba | Delta | \$141,000 | \$82,707 | 0.000 | 47.084 | 0.066 | 2.659 | 1.000 | 1.720 | NR | 1.47 | 3 | 3 | NR | 3 |
| Gijik St | 3210004 | Escanaba | Delta | \$0 | \$95 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.661 | 0.661 | 0.160 | 0.430 | NR | 0.53 | 5 | 4 | NR | 4 |
| M-28 | 1260906 | Newberry | Luce | \$1,833,000 | \$210,834 | 4.419 | 30.936 | 0.209 | 1.793 | 1.150 | 2.310 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| County Rd 403 North | 1261007 | Newberry | Luce | \$70,500 | \$5,795 | 0.000 | 44.973 | 0.115 | 1.669 | 0.520 | 1.190 | NR | NR | 4 | 4 | NR | NR |
| County Rd 388 | 3480528 | Newberry | Luce | \$0 | \$42 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.220 | 0.450 | 0.890 | NR | NR | 4 | 4 | NR | NR |
| County Rd 403 South | 1261007 | Newberry | Luce | \$0 | \$1,660 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.171 | 0.514 | 0.580 | 1.770 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| N Greenwood Dr | 1262108 | Newberry | Luce | \$0 | \$0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.350 | NR | NR | 5 | 5 | NR | NR |
| N Huron Shore Dr | 1143604 | Hessel | Mackinaw | \$634,500 | \$1,390,866 | 0.000 | 7.850 | 0.261 | 2.759 | 1.450 | 2.950 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| US-2 | 1142109 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | \$564,000 | \$122,459 | 0.000 | 38.071 | 0.113 | 0.350 | 0.720 | 1.590 | NR | NR | 4 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Three Mile Rd | 1143710 | Hessel | Mackinaw | \$493,500 | \$129,026 | 0.000 | 9.908 | 0.309 | 3.179 | 1.590 | 2.880 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Mackinac Trail | 1143101 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | \$423,000 | \$745,908 | 0.000 | 41.702 | 0.363 | 17.736 | 6.460 | 9.650 | 15.6 | NR | 1 | 1 | 1 | NR |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | \$352,500 | \$2,007,347 | 0.000 | 9.897 | 0.065 | 15.154 | 1.160 | 2.460 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Division St | 1561008 | Marquette | Marquette | \$493,500 | \$14,911 | 0.000 | 173.220 | 0.350 | 1.405 | 1.750 | 3.070 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| M-553 | 1561009 | KI Sawyer | Marquette | \$282,000 | \$34,221 | 0.000 | 72.556 | 0.528 | 1.411 | 2.670 | 4.650 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| M-94 | 1575104 | Marquette | Marquette | \$211,500 | \$99,172 | 0.000 | 22.905 | 0.259 | 1.728 | 1.100 | 2.260 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| M-553 | 1561008 | Marquette | Marquette | \$141,000 | \$576,052 | 0.000 | 2.716 | 0.196 | 4.533 | 0.970 | 1.780 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| Scorpion St | 3520700 | Marquette | Marquette | \$70,500 | \$5,552 | 0.000 | 367.430 | 0.573 | 1.235 | 2.050 | 5.400 | NR | 0.61 | 2 | 1 | NR | 4 |
| US 2 | 1199903 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | \$423,000 | \$396,837 | 0.000 | 13.295 | 0.284 | 1.587 | 1.090 | 2.060 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 | NR | NR |
| County Road 433 | 1200008 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | \$211,500 | \$48,846 | 0.000 | 65.620 | 0.440 | 1.707 | 1.310 | 2.720 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Faketty Rd | 1202606 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | \$70,500 | \$159,260 | 0.000 | 1603.332 | 0.588 | 1.470 | 1.760 | 4.390 | NR | NR | 2 | 2 | NR | NR |
| Scharstrom Rd | 3770021 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | \$70,500 | \$127 | 0.000 | 2713.331 | 0.176 | 1.323 | 0.360 | 0.590 | NR | NR | 4 | 4 | NR | NR |
| Linden Rd | 1200102 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | \$0 | \$3,331 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.506 | 1.771 | 1.440 | 3.060 | NR | NR | 3 | 2 | NR | NR |

B. 4 High Risk Locations by Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (2009-13)

| Road Name | Type | Physical Reference Number | Area | County | Approx. Length (mi) | Intersection Type | Average AADT | K | A | B | Total | Historic Fatal Crash Cost (2009-13) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP Predicted Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (Five Year Period) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lakeshore Dr | Road | 1468005 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 12.05 | - | 3026 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 17 | \$4,230,000 | \$5,217,000 | \$1,002,975 |
| -75 BL | Road | 3170836 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 3.04 | - | 13790 | 1 | 14 | 18 | 33 | \$1,410,000 | \$3,666,000 | \$17,149,435 |
| Mackinac Trail | Road | 3170836 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 14.70 | - | 1222 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 14 | \$2,820,000 | \$3,666,000 | \$417,268 |
| Six Mile Rd | Road | 1468005 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 6.90 | - | 3200 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 12 | \$2,820,000 | \$3,525,000 | \$722,325 |
| M-28 | Road | 1138809/1139306 | Munising | Alger | 12.86 | - | 4706 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 26 | \$1,410,000 | \$3,172,500 | \$554,236 |
| Seymour Rd | Road | 1474205 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4.10 | - | 1436 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | \$2,820,000 | \$2,961,000 | \$671,449 |
| S Front St | Road | 1562009 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.12 | - | 17796 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 11 | \$1,410,000 | \$2,115,000 | \$412,478 |
| US-41 | Road | 1562009 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.67 | - | 15708 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 11 | \$1,410,000 | \$2,115,000 | \$412,478 |
| N Lincoln Rd | Road | 1349006 | Escanaba | Delta | 0.99 | - | 18696 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,974,000 | \$612,716 |
| M-28 | Road | 1260906 | Newberry | Luce | 3.67 | - | 3382 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,833,000 | \$210,834 |
| Dixie Hwy | Road | 1465607 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4.04 | - | 4663 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,621,500 | \$865,500 |
| East Portage | Road | 1902204 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 1.93 | - | 5931 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,621,500 | \$550,693 |
| 1-75BL/East Portage | Intersection | 8095987 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 4800 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,480,500 | \$200,978 |
| M-28/County Rd 403 North | Intersection | 8094078 | Newberry | Luce | - | 4 | 4300 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,410,000 | \$10,903 |
| Seymour Road/Three Mile Rd | Intersection | 8096170 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 7500 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,410,000 | \$61,525 |
| US-2 | Road | 1351805 | Escanaba | Delta | 2.17 | - | 13478 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 13 | \$0 | \$916,500 | \$530,611 |
| Easterday | Road | 1466607 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 2.73 | - | 8042 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 12 | \$0 | \$846,000 | \$631,846 |
| $N$ Huron Shore $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{M}-134)$ | Road | 1143604 | Hessel | Mackinaw | 17.03 | - | 3690 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | \$0 | \$634,500 | \$1,390,866 |
| US-2 | Road | 1142109 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 1.68 | - | 6863 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | \$0 | \$564,000 | \$122,459 |
| Shunk Rd | Road | 1465409 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 7.95 | - | 1484 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | \$0 | \$493,500 | \$805,138 |
| Division St | Road | 1561008 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.10 | - | 2013 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 | \$0 | \$493,500 | \$14,911 |
| Mackinac Trail | Road | 1143101 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 12.05 | - | 654 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | \$0 | \$423,000 | \$745,908 |
| S Lincoln Rd | Road | 1349006 | Escanaba | Delta | 1.80 | - | 9491 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | \$0 | \$423,000 | \$522,870 |
| 1-75 BL North State St | Road | 1142108 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 4.70 | - | 5890 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | \$0 | \$352,500 | \$2,007,347 |
| McClellan Ave | Road | 3520167 | Marquette | Marquette | 1.93 | - | 13908 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$1,044,546 |
| M-553 | Road | 1561009 | K1 Sawyer | Marquette | 0.43 | - | 6945 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$34,221 |
| 1-75BL/Easterday Ave | Intersection | 8095977 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 21300 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$8,407 |
| 1-75BL/M-129 (Ashmun) | Intersection | 8095960 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 19000 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$524,171 |
| 1-75BL/Marquette Ave | Intersection | 8095965 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 25000 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$940,043 |
| US-2/Willow Creek Rd | Intersection | 8094230 | Escanaba | Delta | - | 4 | 14600 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | \$0 | \$282,000 | \$11,410 |
| Marquette Avenue | Road | 3170031 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 1.55 | - | 3944 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$191,323 |
| Tone Rd | Road | 3170005 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 5.16 | - | 2000 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$182,207 |
| M-94 | Road | 1575104 | K1 Sawyer | Marquette | 4.78 | - | 1500 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$99,172 |
| County Rd 433 | Road | 1200008 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 2.05 | - | 1222 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$48,846 |
| 1.5 Mile | Road | 1474606 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 4.66 | - | 245 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$11,262 |
| Riverside Dr | Road | 1902204 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 0.31 | - | 3490 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$550,693 |
| 1-75BL/Mackinac Trail | Intersection | 8095940 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 15600 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$63,683 |
| 1-75BL/Peck St | Intersection | 8095982 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 12000 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$365,535 |
| 1-75BL/Portage Ave | Intersection | 8095988 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 9000 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$161,047 |

B-7

| Road Name | Type | Physical Reference Number | Area | County | Approx. Length (mi) | Intersection Type | Average AADT | K | A | B | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Historic Fatal } \\ \text { Crash Cost } \\ \text { (2009-13) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP Predicted Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (Five Year Period) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McClellan Ave/US-41 | Intersection | 8095355 | Marquette | Marquette | - | 4 | 27500 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$27,643 |
| S Front St/Division St | Intersection | 8094970 | Marquette | Marquette | - | 3 | 18500 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$10,438 |
| US-2/26th St S | Intersection | 8094235 | Escanaba | Delta | - | 3 | 11800 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | \$0 | \$211,500 | \$13,166 |
| M-221 | Road | 3170026 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 2.54 | - | 1702 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$106,014 |
| M-553 | Road | 1561008 | Marquette | Marquette | 5.97 | - | 6763 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$576,052 |
| Scorpion St | Road | 3520700 | K1 Sawyer | Marquette | 1.49 | - | 200 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$5,552 |
| Four Mile Rd | Road | 1474201 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 2.17 | - | 300 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$6,905 |
| N Huron Shore Dr/M-129 (Meridian Rd) | Intersection | 8095561 | Hessel | Mackinaw | - | 3 | 5100 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$17,724 |
| US-41/Grove St | Intersection | 8094984 | Marquette | Marquette | - | 4 | 18000 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \$0 | \$141,000 | \$6,271 |
| Gaines Highway | Road | 3170979 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 3.79 | - | 1729 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$494,498 |
| M-129 (Ashmun) | Road | 1465607 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 1.06 | - | 4777 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$865,500 |
| Evergreen Dr | Road | 1470808 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.56 | - | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$899 |
| Faketty Rd | Road | 1202606 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.68 | - | 50 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$159,260 |
| Balko St | Road | 1141702 | Munising | Alger | 0.37 | - | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$624 |
| Scharstrom Rd | Road | 3770021 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.81 | - | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$127 |
| East Three Mile Rd/Gardenville Rd | Intersection | 8095799 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 13300 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$24,809 |
| Easterday/Meridian St | Intersection | 8095856 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 9200 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$9,369 |
| Homestead Rd/East Three Mile Rd | Intersection | 8094774 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 360 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$624 |
| 1-75BL North State St/Church St | Intersection | 8096026 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 3 | 9600 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$146,517 |
| 1-75BL/11th Ave | Intersection | 8095966 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 13850 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$879,597 |
| 1-75BL/13th Ave | Intersection | 8095964 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 19500 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$754,547 |
| 1-75BL/14th Ave | Intersection | 8095963 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 19350 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$1,234,663 |
| 1-75BL/16th Ave | Intersection | 8095961 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 19600 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$830,931 |
| 1-758L/5th Ave | Intersection | 8095972 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 13840 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$563,108 |
| 1-758L/8th Ave | Intersection | 8095969 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$539,050 |
| 1-75BL/James St | Intersection | 8095975 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$575,047 |
| 1-75BL/Leroy St | Intersection | 8095980 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 11500 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$478,445 |
| Kincheloe Dr/Evergreen Dr | Intersection | 8094463 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | - | 4 | 1500 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$4,537 |
| M-129 (Ashmun)/East Three Mile Rd | Intersection | 8094344 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 9700 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$30,541 |
| M-28/Balko St | Intersection | 8093386 | Munising | Alger | - | 3 | 5100 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$2,686 |
| M-28/Newberry Ave | Intersection | 8094062 | Newberry | Luce | - | 4 | 7000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$2,284 |
| M-553/Kelly Johnson Memorial Dr | Intersection | 8094921 | K1 Sawyer | Marquette | - | 3 | 7300 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$973 |
| Mackinac Trai/East Three Mile Rd | Intersection | 8095743 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 4750 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$32,656 |
| Mackinac Trail/W 10 Mile Rd | Intersection | 8097151 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | - | 3 | 1700 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$28,669 |
| Marquette Ave/Seymour Rd | Intersection | 8096190 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 5100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$3,564 |
| Red Cedar Dr/Maple Grove Dr | Intersection | 8094539 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | - | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$116 |
| S Lincoln Rd/5th Ave | Intersection | 8094175 | Escanaba | Delta | - | 4 | 18100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$79,767 |
| Shunk Road/Three Mile Rd | Intersection | 8095742 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 6800 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$33,163 |
| US-2/S 1st St | Intersection | 8093462 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 3 | 7500 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$2,718 |
| US-41/S Front St | Intersection | 8095438 | Marquette | Marquette | - | R | 15000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$70,500 | \$28,584 |
| Country Wood Dr | Road | 1470809 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.12 | - | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$127 |

B-8

| Road Name | Type | Physical Reference Number | Area | County | Approx. Length (mi) | Intersection Type | Average AADT | K | A | B | Total | Historic Fatal Crash Cost (2009-13) | Historic Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Cost (2009-13) | usRAP Predicted Fatal \& Serious Injury Crash Costs (Five Year Period) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tamarack St | Road | 1470806 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.06 | - | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$95 |
| Krummich Rd | Road | 1200102 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.19 | - | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$666 |
| Klagstad | Road | 1200009 | Manistique | Schoolcraft | 0.06 | - | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$74 |
| Court St | Road | 1473303/1472205 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 0.12 | - | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$233 |
| Knox Rd | Road | 1139402/3020042 | Munising | Alger | 0.12 | - | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$233 |
| Maple St | Road | 1473302 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | 0.19 | - | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$338 |
| Ingalsbe | Road | 1146901 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 0.81 | - | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,058 |
| Marquette St | Road | 1142709 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 0.06 | - | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$212 |
| Degenova Ave | Road | 3170007 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | 0.25 | - | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$116 |
| Bejig | Road | 3520984 | Marquette | Marquette | 0.06 | - | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32 |
| E Truckey St | Road | 1142706 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | 0.06 | - | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$127 |
| Eagle Ridge Ct | Road | 3521041 | Marquette | Marquette | 0.06 | - | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32 |
| Gaines Highway/M-80 | Intersection | 8096203 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 3 | 4000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$56,978 |
| Gaines Highway/Seasonal Rd | Intersection | 8096224 | Kincheloe | Chippewa | - | 4 | 1775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$74,088 |
| 1-75BL/10th Ave | Intersection | 8095967 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 13850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$880,358 |
| 1-75BL/15th Ave | Intersection | 8095962 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 19400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$830,931 |
| 1-75BL/18th Ave | Intersection | 8095959 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 16000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$646,894 |
| 1-75BL/19th Ave | Intersection | 8095957 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 16000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$632,448 |
| 1-758L/6th Ave | Intersection | 8095971 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$561,617 |
| 1-758L/7th Ave | Intersection | 8095970 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$539,050 |
| 1-75BL/9th Ave | Intersection | 8095968 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$539,801 |
| I-75BL/Ann St | Intersection | 8095979 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 11400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$734,561 |
| 1-75BL/Newton Ave | Intersection | 8095974 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$568,628 |
| --75BL/Pine St | Intersection | 8095976 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 14000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$551,328 |
| 1-75BL/Sherridan Dr | Intersection | 8095981 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 3 | 11500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$476,700 |
| 1-75BL/Spruce St | Intersection | 8095985 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 8000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,978 |
| Mackinac Trai//Charles Moran Rd | Intersection | 8096394 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 4 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$18,929 |
| Mackinac Trai//Charles Rd | Intersection | 8096419 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 4 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,385 |
| Mackinac Trail/-75 BL North State St | Intersection | 8096277 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 3 | 5600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$33,375 |
| Mackinac Trail/Ingalsbe Rd | Intersection | 8096306 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 3 | 1250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$33,861 |
| Mackinac Trail/Usfs 3445 | Intersection | 8096437 | St Ignace | Mackinaw | - | 4 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,374 |
| Seymour Road/8th Ave | Intersection | 8096194 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 1700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,077 |
| Seymour Road/Newton Ave | Intersection | 8096199 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 1800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$50,538 |
| Shunk Road/Maleport Dr | Intersection | 8095736 | Sault Ste. Marie | Chippewa | - | 4 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$33,523 |

## Appendix C - usRAP Recommended Treatments

| Road Name | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PR } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Dist. | Latitude | Longitude | Countermeasure | KSI Saved Over 20yrs | Crash Cost Savings per Year | Present Value of Safety Benefit | Estimated 20yr Cost | Cost <br> Effectiveness | Net Benefit | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.05 | 46.46355308 | -84.3727982 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75 BL | 3170836 | 0.1 | 46.46415423 | -84.37182829 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.2 | 46.4648535 | -84.37101267 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.3 | 46.46555582 | -84.37020112 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.4 | 46.46625355 | -84.36938133 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.5 | 46.46692583 | -84.36851846 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.6 | 46.46756295 | -84.36759997 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.7 | 46.46818566 | -84.36655498 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.8 | 46.46880666 | -84.36572309 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 0.9 | 46.4694308 | -84.36479129 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1 | 46.47005987 | $-84.36385748$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.41718 | \$4,010 | \$54,502 | \$1,160 | \$2,781 | \$53,342 | 46.98 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.1 | 46.47068893 | $-84.36292365$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.42134 | \$4,051 | \$55,048 | \$1,160 | \$2,753 | \$53,888 | 47.45 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.2 | 46.47131799 | -84.36198979 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.42134 | \$4,051 | \$55,048 | \$1,160 | \$2,753 | \$53,888 | 47.45 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.3 | 46.47194633 | -84.36106005 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.42134 | \$4,051 | \$55,048 | \$1,160 | \$2,753 | \$53,888 | 47.45 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.4 | 46.47257421 | -84.3601329 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.42134 | \$4,051 | \$55,048 | \$1,160 | \$2,753 | \$53,888 | 47.45 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.5 | 46.47320024 | -84.35920137 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.42134 | \$4,051 | \$55,048 | \$1,160 | \$2,753 | \$53,888 | 47.45 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.6 | 46.47382359 | $-84.3582635$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.42134 | \$4,051 | \$55,048 | \$1,160 | \$2,753 | \$53,888 | 47.45 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.7 | 46.47445433 | -84.35733612 | Roundabout | 7.33768 | \$70,544 | \$958,717 | \$300,000 | \$40,885 | \$658,717 | 3.2 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.8 | 46.475088 | $-84.35641046$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.98976 | \$9,516 | \$129,321 | \$11,160 | \$11,275 | \$118,161 | 11.59 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.9 | 46.47572646 | -84.35549037 | Roundabout | 7.33768 | \$70,544 | \$958,717 | \$300,000 | \$40,885 | \$658,717 | 3.2 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 1.9 | 46.47572646 | -84.35549037 | Roadside barriers - Left | 0.2171 | \$2,087 | \$28,369 | \$23,250 | \$107,094 | \$5,119 | 1.22 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2 | 46.47644686 | -84.35471292 | Left turn provision at existing signalized site (3-leg) | 1.27778 | \$12,284 | \$166,949 | \$100,800 | \$78,887 | \$66,149 | 1.66 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2 | 46.47644686 | -84.35471292 | Roadside barriers - Left | 0.2171 | \$2,087 | \$28,369 | \$23,250 | \$107,094 | \$5,119 | 1.22 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2 | 46.47644686 | -84.35471292 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 1.07474 | \$10,332 | \$140,419 | \$11,160 | \$10,384 | \$129,259 | 12.58 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.1 | 46.47725957 | -84.35415631 | Roundabout | 9.42514 | \$90,614 | \$1,231,468 | \$300,000 | \$31,830 | \$931,468 | 4.1 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.1 | 46.47725957 | -84.35415631 | Roadside barriers - Left | 0.27886 | \$2,682 | \$36,443 | \$23,250 | \$83,375 | \$13,193 | 1.57 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.2 | 46.47814155 | $-84.35385055$ | Roundabout | 9.42514 | \$90,614 | \$1,231,468 | \$300,000 | \$31,830 | \$931,468 | 4.1 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.2 | 46.47814155 | -84.35385055 | Roadside barriers - Left | 0.27886 | \$2,682 | \$36,443 | \$23,250 | \$83,375 | \$13,193 | 1.57 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.3 | 46.47903288 | -84.35376563 | Roundabout | 19.39144 | \$186,429 | \$2,533,627 | \$1,000,000 | \$51,569 | \$1,533,627 | 2.53 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.4 | 46.47993556 | -84.35374274 | Roundabout | 9.42514 | \$90,614 | \$1,231,468 | \$1,000,000 | \$106,099 | \$231,468 | 1.23 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.5 | 46.48082849 | -84.35372182 | Left turn provision at existing signalized site (4-leg) | 3.53212 | \$33,958 | \$461,494 | \$100,800 | \$28,538 | \$360,694 | 4.58 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.5 | 46.48082849 | $-84.35372182$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.13178 | \$1,267 | \$17,218 | \$1,160 | \$8,803 | \$16,058 | 14.84 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.6 | 46.48173033 | -84.35370124 | Roundabout | 13.87398 | \$133,385 | \$1,812,739 | \$1,00,000 | \$72,077 | \$812,739 | 1.81 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.7 | 46.4826284 | -84.35370184 | Roundabout | 13.87398 | \$133,385 | \$1,812,739 | \$1,000,000 | \$72,077 | \$812,739 | 1.81 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.8 | 46.48352447 | -84.35366207 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.8 | 46.48352447 | -84.35366207 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.08012 | \$770 | \$10,465 | \$1,160 | \$14,478 | \$9,305 | 9.02 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.9 | 46.48443798 | -84.35362041 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 2.9 | 46.48443798 | $-84.35362041$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.08012 | \$770 | \$10,465 | \$1,160 | \$14,478 | \$9,305 | 9.02 |
| 75BL | 3170836 |  | 46.48533291 | -84.35360013 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3 | 46.48533291 | -84.35360013 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.08012 | \$770 | \$10,465 | \$1,160 | \$14,478 | \$9,305 | 9.02 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.1 | 46.48622382 | -84.35358417 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.1 | 46.48622382 | $-84.35358417$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.50266 | \$4,833 | \$65,679 | \$1,160 | \$2,308 | \$64,519 | 56.62 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.2 | 46.48713142 | -84.35355491 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.2 | 46.48713142 | -84.35355491 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.51486 | \$4,949 | \$67,265 | \$1,160 | \$2,253 | \$66,105 | 57.99 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.3 | 46.48802739 | -84.35352563 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.51486 | \$4,949 | \$67,265 | \$1,160 | \$2,253 | \$66,105 | 57.99 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.4 | 46.48892311 | -84.35352175 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.4 | 46.48892311 | -84.35352175 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.55772 | \$5,362 | \$72,868 | \$1,160 | \$2,080 | \$71,708 | 62.82 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.5 | 46.48983307 | -84.35351591 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.5 | 46.48983307 | -84.35351591 | Road surface improvement | 0.22104 | \$2,125 | \$28,885 | \$12,499 | \$56,546 | \$16,386 | 2.31 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.5 | 46.48983307 | -84.35351591 | Roadside barriers - Left | 0.40328 | \$3,877 | \$52,690 | \$23,250 | \$57,652 | \$29,440 | 2.27 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.5 | 46.48983307 | -84.35351591 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.28644 | \$2,754 | \$37,425 | \$1,160 | \$4,050 | \$36,265 | 32.26 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.6 | 46.49064662 | $-84.3529977$ | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 2.3463 | \$22,557 | \$306,560 | \$100,800 | \$42,961 | \$205,760 | 3.04 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.6 | 46.49064662 | -84.3529977 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.1352 | \$1,300 | \$17,673 | \$1,160 | \$8,580 | \$16,513 | 15.24 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.7 | 46.49143992 | -84.35238175 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.1352 | \$1,300 | \$17,673 | \$1,160 | \$8,580 | \$16,513 | 15.24 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.8 | 46.49223322 | -84.35177207 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.11154 | \$1,072 | \$14,573 | \$1,160 | \$10,400 | \$13,413 | 12.56 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 3.9 | 46.49302795 | -84.35116634 | Roundabout | 11.4468 | \$110,049 | \$1,495,605 | \$1,000,000 | \$87,361 | \$495,605 | 1.5 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4 | 46.49382572 | -84.35054948 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 1.93582 | \$18,611 | \$252,925 | \$100,800 | \$52,071 | \$152,125 | 2.51 |

C-2

| Road Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { PR } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Dist. | Latitude | Longitude | Countermeasure | KSI Saved Over 20yrs | Crash Cost Savings per Year | Present Value of Safety Benefit | Estimated 20yr Cost | Cost <br> Effectiveness | Net Benefit | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4 | 46.49382572 | -84.35054948 | Road resurface | 0.19312 | \$1,857 | \$25,236 | \$18,749 | \$97,085 | \$6,487 | 1.35 |
| 75BL | 3178836 | 4 | 46.49382572 | -84.35054948 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.11154 | \$1,072 | \$14,573 | \$1,160 | \$10,400 | \$13,413 | 12.56 |
| 75BL | 3178836 | 4.1 | 46.49460949 | $-84.34992173$ | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 1.93582 | \$18,611 | \$252,925 | \$100,800 | \$52,071 | \$152,125 | 2.51 |
| 75BL | 3178836 | 4.1 | 46.49460949 | -84.34992173 | Road resurface | 0.18574 | \$1,785 | \$24,263 | \$18,749 | \$100,942 | \$5,514 | 1.29 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4.1 | 46.49460949 | -84.34992173 | Shoulder paving ( $>1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09788 | \$941 | \$12,790 | \$1,160 | \$11,851 | \$11,630 | 11.03 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4.2 | 46.495402 | $-84.3493274$ | Left turn lane (unsignalized 3 leg) | 1.29056 | \$12,407 | \$168,617 | \$100,800 | \$78,106 | \$67,817 | 1.67 |
| 75BL | 3178836 | 4.2 | 46.495402 | $-84.3493274$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.78612 | \$7,557 | \$102,707 | \$1,160 | \$1,476 | \$101,547 | 88.54 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4.3 | 46.49619683 | -84.34870307 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.0889 | \$855 | \$11,614 | \$1,160 | \$13,048 | \$10,454 | 10.01 |
| 75 BL | 3170836 | 4.4 | 46.49699103 | -84.34809021 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.0889 | \$855 | \$11,614 | \$1,160 | \$13,048 | \$10,454 | 10.01 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4.5 | 46.49778318 | -84.34747168 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.03544 | \$341 | \$4,629 | \$1,160 | \$32,731 | \$3,469 | 3.99 |
| 75BL | 3178836 | 4.6 | 46.49858416 | -84.34687599 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.03544 | \$341 | \$4,629 | \$1,160 | \$32,731 | \$3,469 | 3.99 |
| 75BL | 3178836 | 4.7 | 46.4993981 | $-84.34632942$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.03544 | \$341 | \$4,629 | \$1,160 | \$32,731 | \$3,469 | 3.99 |
| 75BL | 3170836 | 4.8 | 46.50025693 | $-84.3459379$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.03544 | \$341 | \$4,629 | \$1,160 | \$32,731 | \$3,469 | 3.99 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 0.2 | 45.85790466 | -84.72539754 | Left turn lane (unsignalized 4 leg) | 0.83058 | \$7,985 | \$108,514 | \$84,000 | \$101,134 | \$24,514 | 1.29 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 0.5 | 45.85830703 | -84.72158071 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.02258 | \$218 | \$2,957 | \$1,160 | \$51,373 | \$1,797 | 2.55 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 0.6 | 45.85842194 | -84.72030044 | Signalize intersection (3-leg) | 0.77188 | \$7,421 | \$100,860 | \$80,000 | \$103,643 | \$20,860 | 1.26 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 0.6 | 45.85842194 | -84.72030044 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.43936 | \$4,224 | \$57,404 | \$11,160 | \$25,401 | \$46,244 | 5.14 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 0.7 | 45.85858398 | -84.71903635 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.3414 | \$3,282 | \$44,610 | \$11,160 | \$32,689 | \$33,450 | 4 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 3.6 | 45.87702027 | -84.72636161 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.0503 | \$484 | \$6,579 | \$1,160 | \$23,062 | \$5,419 | 5.67 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 4.4 | 45.88402082 | -84.72537072 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.00916 | \$89 | \$1,203 | \$1,160 | \$126,638 | \$43 | 1.04 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 4.5 | 45.8849208 | -84.72537553 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.02 | \$192 | \$2,615 | \$1,160 | \$58,000 | \$1,455 | 2.25 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 4.6 | 45.88581639 | -84.72547836 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.02 | \$192 | \$2,615 | \$1,160 | \$58,000 | \$1,455 | 2.25 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 4.7 | 45.88669053 | -84.72578295 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.0138 | \$133 | \$1,808 | \$1,160 | \$84,058 | \$648 | 1.56 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 4.8 | 45.88755103 | -84.72615996 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.00916 | \$89 | \$1,203 | \$1,160 | \$126,638 | \$43 | 1.04 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 4.9 | 45.88841765 | -84.72650731 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.0138 | \$133 | \$1,808 | \$1,160 | \$84,058 | \$648 | 1.56 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 5 | 45.88928235 | -84.7268647 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.1315 | \$1,265 | \$17,186 | \$1,160 | \$8,821 | \$16,026 | 14.82 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 5.1 | 45.89014655 | -84.72722401 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.03452 | \$332 | \$4,512 | \$1,160 | \$33,604 | \$3,352 | 3.89 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 5.2 | 45.89102447 | -84.72746007 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.00916 | \$89 | \$1,203 | \$1,160 | \$126,638 | \$43 | 1.04 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 5.3 | 45.89190811 | -84.72766645 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.03106 | \$298 | \$4,055 | \$1,160 | \$37,347 | \$2,895 | 3.5 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 5.4 | 45.89278459 | -84.72796647 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.04932 | \$474 | \$6,441 | \$1,160 | \$23,520 | \$5,281 | 5.55 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.2 | 45.89749478 | $-84.73555018$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.22938 | \$2,206 | \$29,977 | \$11,160 | \$48,653 | \$18,817 | 2.69 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.3 | 45.89831149 | -84.73609161 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24258 | \$2,333 | \$31,701 | \$11,160 | \$46,005 | \$20,541 | 2.84 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.4 | 45.89912845 | -84.73659474 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24258 | \$2,333 | \$31,701 | \$11,160 | \$46,005 | \$20,541 | 2.84 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.5 | 45.90003591 | -84.7366222 | Delineation and signing (intersection) | 0.4048 | \$3,892 | \$52,890 | \$28,365 | \$70,072 | \$24,525 | 1.86 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.5 | 45.90003591 | -84.7366222 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.23636 | \$2,272 | \$30,875 | \$11,160 | \$47,216 | \$19,715 | 2.77 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.6 | 45.90093102 | $-84.73655701$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.23898 | \$2,297 | \$31,219 | \$11,160 | \$46,698 | \$20,059 | 2.8 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.7 | 45.90182419 | -84.73645205 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25364 | \$2,439 | \$33,141 | \$11,160 | \$43,999 | \$21,981 | 2.97 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 6.8 | 45.90272643 | -84.73650004 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24258 | \$2,333 | \$31,701 | \$11,160 | \$46,005 | \$20,541 | 2.84 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 7.1 | 45.90520227 | $-84.73798826$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.22718 | \$2,185 | \$29,689 | \$11,160 | \$49,124 | \$18,529 | 2.66 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 7.2 | 45.90598117 | -84.7386211 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.22556 | \$2,168 | \$29,466 | \$11,160 | \$49,477 | \$18,306 | 2.64 |
| 75BL North State Street | 1142108 | 7.3 | 45.90674605 | -84.7392888 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.22542 | \$2,168 | \$29,459 | \$7,800 | \$34,602 | \$21,659 | 3.78 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.05 | 46.46285059 | $-84.37274535$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.26606 | \$2,557 | \$34,756 | \$11,160 | \$41,945 | \$23,596 | 3.11 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.1 | 46.46284171 | $-84.37144017$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25752 | \$2,476 | \$33,655 | \$11,160 | \$43,336 | \$22,495 | 3.02 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.2 | 46.4628332 | -84.370135 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25752 | \$2,476 | \$33,655 | \$11,160 | \$43,336 | \$22,495 | 3.02 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.3 | 46.46282626 | -84.36883096 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25752 | \$2,476 | \$33,655 | \$11,160 | \$43,336 | \$22,495 | 3.02 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.4 | 46.46281932 | -84.36753008 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25752 | \$2,476 | \$33,655 | \$11,160 | \$43,336 | \$22,495 | 3.02 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.5 | 46.46281207 | -84.3662292 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25428 | \$2,445 | \$33,227 | \$11,160 | \$43,889 | \$22,067 | 2.98 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.6 | 46.46280299 | -84.36492825 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25344 | \$2,437 | \$33,114 | \$11,160 | \$44,034 | \$21,954 | 2.97 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.8 | 46.46281239 | $-84.3623264$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.21188 | \$2,037 | \$27,682 | \$11,160 | \$52,671 | \$16,522 | 2.48 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 0.9 | 46.46282923 | -84.36102562 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.21188 | \$2,037 | \$27,682 | \$11,160 | \$52,671 | \$16,522 | 2.48 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1 | 46.46284606 | $-84.35972483$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.21188 | \$2,037 | \$27,682 | \$11,160 | \$52,671 | \$16,522 | 2.48 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.1 | 46.46286965 | -84.35842427 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.21188 | \$2,037 | \$27,682 | \$11,160 | \$52,671 | \$16,522 | 2.48 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.2 | 46.46289342 | -84.35712371 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.21528 | \$2,070 | \$28,136 | \$11,160 | \$51,839 | \$16,976 | 2.52 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.3 | 46.46291717 | $-84.35582315$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.21188 | \$2,037 | \$27,682 | \$11,160 | \$52,671 | \$16,522 | 2.48 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.4 | 46.46294343 | -84.35452271 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.22408 | \$2,155 | \$29,286 | \$11,160 | \$49,804 | \$18,126 | 2.62 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.5 | 46.46296858 | -84.35322121 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$11,160 | \$36,715 | \$28,561 | 3.56 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.6 | 46.4629893 | -84.35191885 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$11,160 | \$36,715 | \$28,561 | 3.56 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.7 | 46.46301 | $-84.35061649$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$11,160 | \$36,715 | \$28,561 | 3.56 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.8 | 46.46303069 | -84.34931413 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$11,160 | \$36,715 | \$28,561 | 3.56 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 1.9 | 46.46305136 | $-84.34801177$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$11,160 | \$36,715 | \$28,561 | 3.56 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | , | 46.46307202 | -84.34670941 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$7,800 | \$25,661 | \$31,921 | 5.09 |

C-3

| Road Name | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PR } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Dist. | Latitude | Longitude | Countermeasure | KSI Saved Over 20yrs | Crash Cost Savings per Year | Present Value of Safety Benefit | Estimated 20yr Cost | Cost <br> Effectiveness | Net Benefit | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 2.3 | 46.46311925 | -84.34280224 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$7,800 | \$25,661 | \$31,921 | 5.09 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 2.5 | 46.4630933 | -84.34019711 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 2.6 | 46.46308031 | -84.33889454 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30886 | \$2,969 | \$40,356 | \$7,800 | \$25,254 | \$32,556 | 5.17 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 2.7 | 46.46306695 | -84.33759318 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30168 | \$2,900 | \$39,412 | \$7,800 | \$25,855 | \$31,612 | 5.05 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 2.8 | 46.46305237 | -84.33629596 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30526 | \$2,935 | \$39,893 | \$7,800 | \$25,552 | \$32,093 | 5.11 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 2.9 | 46.46303778 | -84.33499874 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3 | 46.46302173 | -84.33369988 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30526 | \$2,935 | \$39,893 | \$7,800 | \$25,552 | \$32,093 | 5.11 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.1 | 46.46300249 | $-84.33239743$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.2 | 46.46298324 | -84.33109497 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.3 | 46.46296397 | -84.32979252 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.4 | 46.46294468 | -84.32849007 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30886 | \$2,969 | \$40,356 | \$7,800 | \$25,254 | \$32,556 | 5.17 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.5 | 46.46292613 | -84.32718798 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30294 | \$2,912 | \$39,581 | \$7,800 | \$25,748 | \$31,781 | 5.07 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.6 | 46.46291065 | -84.32588741 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30294 | \$2,912 | \$39,581 | \$7,800 | \$25,748 | \$31,781 | 5.07 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.7 | 46.46289516 | -84.32458684 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 3.8 | 46.46287965 | -84.32328627 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4 | 46.46285772 | -84.32068361 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.1 | 46.46285097 | -84.31938177 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$7,800 | \$25,661 | \$31,921 | 5.09 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.2 | 46.46284421 | -84.31807994 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$7,800 | \$25,661 | \$31,921 | 5.09 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.3 | 46.46283743 | -84.3167781 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.4 | 46.46283064 | $-84.31547627$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.5 | 46.46282384 | -84.31417444 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.6 | 46.46280802 | -84.31287279 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.7 | 46.46278913 | -84.31157121 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.8 | 46.46276891 | -84.31026968 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 4.9 | 46.46274718 | -84.30896821 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5 | 46.46273774 | -84.30766646 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.1 | 46.4627283 | -84.3063647 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.2 | 46.46271884 | -84.30506295 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.3 | 46.46270936 | -84.30376121 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.4 | 46.46269987 | -84.30245946 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30886 | \$2,969 | \$40,356 | \$7,800 | \$25,254 | \$32,556 | 5.17 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.5 | 46.46269207 | -84.30115773 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30886 | \$2,969 | \$40,356 | \$7,800 | \$25,254 | \$32,556 | 5.17 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.6 | 46.46273988 | -84.29985676 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.7 | 46.46277679 | -84.29855506 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30758 | \$2,957 | \$40,186 | \$7,800 | \$25,359 | \$32,386 | 5.15 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.8 | 46.46282489 | -84.2972546 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30396 | \$2,923 | \$39,721 | \$7,800 | \$25,661 | \$31,921 | 5.09 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 5.9 | 46.46286752 | -84.295954 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.30998 | \$2,980 | \$40,501 | \$7,800 | \$25,163 | \$32,701 | 5.19 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 6 | 46.46290835 | -84.29465325 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.32224 | \$3,098 | \$42,108 | \$7,800 | \$24,206 | \$34,308 | 5.4 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 6.1 | 46.4629607 | -84.29335336 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.32224 | \$3,098 | \$42,108 | \$7,800 | \$24,206 | \$34,308 | 5.4 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 6.2 | 46.46314705 | -84.2920824 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.3137 | \$3,016 | \$40,989 | \$7,800 | \$24,865 | \$33,189 | 5.25 |
| East Three Mile Road | 3170061 | 6.3 | 46.463328 | -84.29131 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.3137 | \$3,016 | \$40,989 | \$7,800 | \$24,865 | \$33,189 | 5.25 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.05 | 46.48812845 | -84.38489173 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.26458 | \$2,544 | \$34,576 | \$11,160 | \$42,180 | \$23,416 | 3.1 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.1 | 46.48853434 | -84.38373304 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.2719 | \$2,614 | \$35,527 | \$11,160 | \$41,045 | \$24,367 | 3.18 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.2 | 46.48897455 | -84.38259721 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.2321 | \$2,231 | \$30,325 | \$11,160 | \$48,083 | \$19,165 | 2.72 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.3 | 46.48941255 | -84.38145952 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.2321 | \$2,231 | \$30,325 | \$11,160 | \$48,083 | \$19,165 | 2.72 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.4 | 46.48984885 | -84.38031558 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.2321 | \$2,231 | \$30,325 | \$11,160 | \$48,083 | \$19,165 | 2.72 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.5 | 46.49025542 | -84.37915298 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.2321 | \$2,231 | \$30,325 | \$11,160 | \$48,083 | \$19,165 | 2.72 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.6 | 46.49061797 | -84.37796043 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.23508 | \$2,261 | \$30,723 | \$11,160 | \$47,473 | \$19,563 | 2.75 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.7 | 46.49098714 | $-84.37677361$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.23508 | \$2,261 | \$30,723 | \$11,160 | \$47,473 | \$19,563 | 2.75 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.8 | 46.49137495 | -84.37560297 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24696 | \$2,375 | \$32,275 | \$11,160 | \$45,190 | \$21,115 | 2.89 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 0.9 | 46.49168784 | -84.37439232 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.26458 | \$2,544 | \$34,576 | \$11,160 | \$42,180 | \$23,416 | 3.1 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 1 | 46.49167843 | -84.37309112 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.39424 | \$3,790 | \$51,510 | \$11,160 | \$28,308 | \$40,350 | 4.62 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 1.1 | 46.49169987 | -84.37177994 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.38828 | \$3,733 | \$50,733 | \$11,160 | \$28,742 | \$39,573 | 4.55 |
| Easterday | 1466607 | 1.2 | 46.49170361 | $-84.37047735$ | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.38828 | \$3,733 | \$50,733 | \$11,160 | \$28,742 | \$39,573 | 4.55 |
| Gaines Highway | 3170979 | 0.05 | 46.25929517 | -84.43761004 | Delineation and signing (intersection) | 0.17636 | \$1,696 | \$23,049 | \$17,843 | \$101,174 | \$5,206 | 1.29 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 0.1 | 46.4638593 | -84.35399403 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24038 | \$2,311 | \$31,414 | \$7,800 | \$32,449 | \$23,614 | 4.03 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 0.2 | 46.46475799 | -84.3539837 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24038 | \$2,311 | \$31,414 | \$7,800 | \$32,449 | \$23,614 | 4.03 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 0.4 | 46.46655538 | -84.35396305 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24038 | \$2,311 | \$31,414 | \$7,800 | \$32,449 | \$23,614 | 4.03 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 0.5 | 46.46745407 | -84.35395272 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24038 | \$2,311 | \$31,414 | \$7,800 | \$32,449 | \$23,614 | 4.03 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 0.6 | 46.46835293 | -84.35394215 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24422 | \$2,348 | \$31,907 | \$7,800 | \$31,938 | \$24,107 | 4.09 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 0.9 | 46.47105005 | -84.35390215 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24038 | \$2,311 | \$31,414 | \$7,800 | \$32,449 | \$23,614 | 4.03 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1 | 46.47194706 | -84.35389006 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.2422 | \$2,329 | \$31,647 | \$7,800 | \$32,205 | \$23,847 | 4.06 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1.1 | 46.47284776 | -84.35388131 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24422 | \$2,348 | \$31,907 | \$7,800 | \$31,938 | \$24,107 | 4.09 |

C-4

| Road Name | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PR } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Dist. | Latitude | Longitude | Countermeasure | KSI Saved Over 20yrs | Crash Cost Savings per Year | Present Value of Safety Benefit | Estimated 20yr Cost | Cost <br> Effectiveness | Net Benefit | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1.2 | 46.47374869 | -84.35387261 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24422 | \$2,348 | \$31,907 | \$7,800 | \$31,938 | \$24,107 | 4.09 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1.3 | 46.474655 | -84.35386398 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.24614 | \$2,367 | \$32,163 | \$7,800 | \$31,689 | \$24,363 | 4.12 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1.4 | 46.4755483 | -84.35384678 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.13468 | \$1,295 | \$17,594 | \$11,160 | \$82,863 | \$6,434 | 1.58 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1.5 | 46.47640619 | -84.35410556 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25184 | \$2,422 | \$32,910 | \$11,160 | \$44,314 | \$21,750 | 2.95 |
| M129 Ashmun | 1465607 | 1.6 | 46.476698 | -84.354481 | Shoulder paving (>1m) | 0.25184 | \$2,422 | \$32,910 | \$11,160 | \$44,314 | \$21,750 | 2.95 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.1 | 46.43498727 | $-84.33776468$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09472 | \$911 | \$12,384 | \$3,900 | \$41,174 | \$8,484 | 3.18 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.2 | 46.43588819 | $-84.33777787$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09472 | \$911 | \$12,384 | \$3,900 | \$41,174 | \$8,484 | 3.18 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.3 | 46.43678911 | -84.33779106 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.09472 | \$911 | \$12,384 | \$3,900 | \$41,174 | \$8,484 | 3.18 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.4 | 46.43769004 | $-84.33780425$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09868 | \$949 | \$12,901 | \$3,900 | \$39,522 | \$9,001 | 3.31 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.5 | 46.43859096 | $-84.33781744$ | Shoulder paving ( (1m) | 0.09072 | \$872 | \$11,847 | \$3,900 | \$42,989 | \$7,947 | 3.04 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.6 | 46.4394912 | -84.33783012 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.7 | 46.44039096 | $-84.33784244$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.8 | 46.44129072 | $-84.33785475$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 0.9 | 46.44219048 | $-84.33786707$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1 | 46.44309025 | -84.3378754 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.094 | \$903 | \$12,277 | \$3,900 | \$41,489 | \$8,377 | 3.15 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.1 | 46.44399005 | -84.33787537 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.094 | \$903 | \$12,277 | \$3,900 | \$41,489 | \$8,377 | 3.15 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.2 | 46.44488985 | $-84.33787535$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.3 | 46.44578965 | $-84.33787532$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.4 | 46.44668945 | -84.3378753 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.5 | 46.44758925 | $-84.33787527$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09042 | \$869 | \$11,814 | \$3,900 | \$43,132 | \$7,914 | 3.03 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.6 | 46.44848904 | $-84.33787525$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09072 | \$872 | \$11,847 | \$3,900 | \$42,989 | \$7,947 | 3.04 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.7 | 46.44938844 | $-84.33786851$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09072 | \$872 | \$11,847 | \$3,900 | \$42,989 | \$7,947 | 3.04 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.8 | 46.45028693 | -84.33786166 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09014 | \$867 | \$11,782 | \$3,900 | \$43,266 | \$7,882 | 3.02 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 1.9 | 46.45118361 | -84.33785715 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.094 | \$903 | \$12,277 | \$3,900 | \$41,489 | \$8,377 | 3.15 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2 | 46.45208029 | $-84.33785263$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.094 | \$903 | \$12,277 | \$3,900 | \$41,489 | \$8,377 | 3.15 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.1 | 46.45297698 | $-84.33784811$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.094 | \$903 | \$12,277 | \$3,900 | \$41,489 | \$8,377 | 3.15 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.2 | 46.45387646 | $-84.33784782$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09768 | \$939 | \$12,763 | \$3,900 | \$39,926 | \$8,863 | 3.27 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.3 | 46.45477689 | -84.33784896 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09768 | \$939 | \$12,763 | \$3,900 | \$39,926 | \$8,863 | 3.27 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.4 | 46.45567731 | $-84.33785182$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09904 | \$952 | \$12,937 | \$3,900 | \$39,378 | \$9,037 | 3.32 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.5 | 46.45657774 | $-84.33785579$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09904 | \$952 | \$12,937 | \$3,900 | \$39,378 | \$9,037 | 3.32 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.6 | 46.45747816 | $-84.33785975$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.09904 | \$952 | \$12,937 | \$3,900 | \$39,378 | \$9,037 | 3.32 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.7 | 46.45837859 | $-84.33788371$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09904 | \$952 | \$12,937 | \$3,900 | \$39,378 | \$9,037 | 3.32 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.8 | 46.45927901 | $-84.33786768$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.10036 | \$965 | \$13,109 | \$3,900 | \$38,860 | \$9,209 | 3.36 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 2.9 | 46.46017913 | -84.33787048 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.10036 | \$965 | \$13,109 | \$3,900 | \$38,860 | \$9,209 | 3.36 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 3 | 46.46107865 | -84.33787107 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09868 | \$949 | \$12,901 | \$3,900 | \$39,522 | \$9,001 | 3.31 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 3.1 | 46.46197816 | $-84.33787737$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.09768 | \$939 | \$12,763 | \$3,900 | \$39,926 | \$8,863 | 3.27 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 3.2 | 46.46287768 | $-84.33788425$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.1005 | \$966 | \$13,134 | \$3,900 | \$38,806 | \$9,234 | 3.37 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 5.6 | 46.48375706 | $-84.33812331$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04122 | \$396 | \$5,379 | \$3,900 | \$94,614 | \$1,479 | 1.38 |
| Seymour Road | 1474205 | 5.6 | 46.48375706 | $-84.33812331$ | Unpaved shoulder (<1m) | 0.02686 | \$258 | \$3,508 | \$1,310 | \$48,771 | \$2,198 | 2.68 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.05 | 46.3759283 | $-84.32194987$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04752 | \$457 | \$6,209 | \$3,900 | \$82,071 | \$2,309 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.1 | 46.37682811 | $-84.32195923$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.2 | 46.37772792 | -84.3219686 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.3 | 46.37862773 | -84.32197796 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.4 | 46.37952754 | $-84.32198732$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.5 | 46.38042735 | $-84.32199668$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.6 | 46.38132716 | -84.32200604 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.0438 | \$421 | \$5,721 | \$3,900 | \$89,041 | \$1,821 | 1.47 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.7 | 46.38222697 | -84.3220154 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.8 | 46.38312678 | $-84.32202476$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 0.9 | 46.38402659 | -84.32203412 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1 | 46.38492638 | -84.32204624 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.1 | 46.38582615 | $-84.32206111$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.2 | 46.38672596 | -84.32207065 | Shoulder paving ( 1 m ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.3 | 46.38762577 | -84.32207857 | Shoulder paving ( 1 m ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.4 | 46.38852558 | -84.32208795 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.5 | 46.38942538 | $-84.32209863$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.6 | 46.39032518 | $-84.32210932$ | Shoulder paving ( (1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.7 | 46.39122444 | -84.32210825 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.8 | 46.39212368 | -84.32210648 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,994 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 1.9 | 46.39302291 | $-84.32210471$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2 | 46.39392214 | -84.32210294 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.1 | 46.39482137 | -84.32210117 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |

C-5

| Road Name | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PR } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Dist. | Latitude | Longitude | Countermeasure | KSI Saved Over 20yrs | Crash Cost Savings per Year | Present Value of Safety Benefit | Estimated 20yr Cost | Cost <br> Effectiveness | Net Benefit | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.2 | 46.39572061 | -84.3220994 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.3 | 46.39661984 | -84.32209763 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.4 | 46.39751907 | -84.32209586 | Shoulder paving ( 1 m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.5 | 46.3984183 | $-84.32209409$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.6 | 46.39931753 | -84.32209232 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.7 | 46.40021676 | -84.32209055 | Shoulder paving ( 1 1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.8 | 46.40111599 | -84.32208877 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 2.9 | 46.40201522 | -84.322087 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3 | 46.40291445 | -8443208522 | Shoulder paving ( 1 1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.1 | 46.40381368 | $-84.32208345$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.2 | 46.40471291 | $-84.32208167$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.3 | 46.40561285 | $-84.32208134$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.4 | 46.40651298 | -84.3220814 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.5 | 46.40741311 | -84.32208146 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04556 | \$438 | \$5,951 | \$3,900 | \$85,601 | \$2,051 | 1.53 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.6 | 46.40831323 | -84.32207557 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.7 | 46.40921334 | $-84.32206725$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04706 | \$453 | \$6,150 | \$3,900 | \$82,873 | \$2,250 | 1.58 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.8 | 46.41011345 | -84.32205893 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.0453 | \$436 | \$5,920 | \$3,900 | \$86,093 | \$2,020 | 1.52 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 3.9 | 46.41101356 | -84.3220506 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.0453 | \$436 | \$5,920 | \$3,900 | \$86,093 | \$2,020 | 1.52 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4 | 46.41191367 | -84.32204228 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.0453 | \$436 | \$5,920 | \$3,900 | \$86,093 | \$2,020 | 1.52 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.1 | 46.4128138 | -84.32203734 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.2 | 46.41371392 | -84.3220333 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.3 | 46.41461404 | -84.32202927 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.4 | 46.41551417 | -84.32202524 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.5 | 46.41641429 | $-84.32202121$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.6 | 46.41731411 | -84.32200831 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.7 | 46.41821377 | -84.32199104 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.8 | 46.41911344 | $-84.32197377$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 4.9 | 46.42001359 | -84.32196759 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5 | 46.42091412 | -84.32196999 | Shoulder paving ( 1 1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.1 | 46.42181464 | $-84.32197239$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.2 | 46.42271517 | $-84.32197479$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.3 | 46.4236157 | -84.32197719 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.4 | 46.42451622 | -84.32197959 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.5 | 46.42541675 | -84.32198199 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.6 | 46.42631727 | $-84.32198439$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.7 | 46.4272178 | $-84.32198679$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04624 | \$444 | \$6,038 | \$3,900 | \$84,343 | \$2,138 | 1.55 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.8 | 46.42811577 | -84.32202284 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04488 | \$431 | \$5,864 | \$3,900 | \$86,898 | \$1,964 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 5.9 | 46.42901398 | -84.32203202 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 6 | 46.42991219 | $-84.32204028$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04362 | \$419 | \$5,694 | \$3,900 | \$89,409 | \$1,794 | 1.46 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 6.5 | 46.43439797 | -84.32207838 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 6.6 | 46.43529842 | -84.32208617 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 6.7 | 46.43619888 | -84.32209397 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 6.8 | 46.43709933 | $-84.32210176$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04602 | \$442 | \$6,010 | \$3,900 | \$84,746 | \$2,110 | 1.54 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 6.9 | 46.43799979 | -84.32210955 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04602 | \$442 | \$6,010 | \$3,900 | \$84,746 | \$2,110 | 1.54 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7 | 46.43890024 | -84.32211734 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.1 | 46.43980069 | $-84.32212513$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04856 | \$467 | \$6,350 | \$3,900 | \$80,313 | \$2,450 | 1.63 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.2 | 46.44070114 | -84.32213292 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.3 | 46.4416016 | -84.32214071 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.4 | 46.44250205 | -84.32214851 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.5 | 46.44340238 | $-84.32215635$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04602 | \$442 | \$6,010 | \$3,900 | \$84,746 | \$2,110 | 1.54 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.6 | 46.44430006 | $-84.32216545$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04602 | \$442 | \$6,010 | \$3,900 | \$84,746 | \$2,110 | 1.54 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.7 | 46.44519773 | -84.32217456 | Shoulder paving ( 1 1m) | 0.04672 | \$449 | \$6,098 | \$3,900 | \$83,476 | \$2,198 | 1.56 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.8 | 46.4460954 | $-84.32218366$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 7.9 | 46.44699307 | $-84.32219276$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8 | 46.44789319 | $-84.32220194$ | Shoulder paving ( 1 1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.1 | 46.44879632 | -84.32221297 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04644 | \$446 | \$6,066 | \$3,900 | \$83,979 | \$2,166 | 1.56 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.2 | 46.44969624 | -84.3222273 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04602 | \$442 | \$6,010 | \$3,900 | \$84,746 | \$2,110 | 1.54 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.3 | 46.45059616 | $-84.32224163$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04602 | \$442 | \$6,010 | \$3,900 | \$84,746 | \$2,110 | 1.54 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.4 | 46.45149612 | $-84.32223685$ | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.5 | 46.45239608 | -84.3222305 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.6 | 46.45329605 | $-84.32222415$ | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |

C-6

| Road Name | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PR } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Dist. | Latitude | Longitude | Countermeasure | KSI Saved Over 20yrs | Crash Cost Savings per Year | Present Value of Safety Benefit | Estimated 20yr Cost | Cost <br> Effectiveness | Net Benefit | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.7 | 46.45419601 | -84.3222178 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.8 | 46.45509356 | -84.32222641 | Shoulder paving ( 11 m ) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 8.9 | 46.45599469 | -84.32223337 | Shoulder paving (<1m) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9 | 46.45690117 | -84.32223752 | Shoulder paving ( 11 m ) | 0.04744 | \$457 | \$6,205 | \$3,900 | \$82,209 | \$2,305 | 1.59 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9.1 | 46.45780024 | -84.32224265 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04508 | \$434 | \$5,892 | \$3,900 | \$86,513 | \$1,992 | 1.51 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9.2 | 46.45869932 | $-84.32224778$ | Shoulder paving ( 11 m ) | 0.04508 | \$434 | \$5,892 | \$3,900 | \$86,513 | \$1,992 | 1.51 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9.3 | 46.4595984 | -84.32225292 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04556 | \$438 | \$5,951 | \$3,900 | \$85,601 | \$2,051 | 1.53 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9.4 | 46.46049747 | -84.32225805 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04556 | \$438 | \$5,951 | \$3,900 | \$85,601 | \$2,051 | 1.53 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9.5 | 46.46139655 | -84.32226318 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
| Shunk Road | 1465409 | 9.6 | 46.46229563 | -84.32226831 | Shoulder paving ( $<1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 0.04474 | \$430 | \$5,839 | \$3,900 | \$87,170 | \$1,939 | 1.5 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{http}: / /$ www.michigantrafficcrashfacts.org/ datatool/build.php
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.nsc.org/ news_resources/injury_and_death_statistics/Pages/EstimatingtheCostsofUnintentionalInjuries.aspx

[^1]:    | Top $1 / 3$ | Mid $1 / 3$ | Bottom $1 / 3$ |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- |

    From individual high risk lists

